Award Justification Statement Solicitation # SK18001

Contract Name: <u>State of Utah - NASPO ValuePoint</u> Data Communications Products and Services

Awarded: April 12, 2019

Project Summary

A request for proposals was issued by the State of Utah on behalf of NASPO ValuePoint, participating states, and their eligible end users for the following scope of work:

- Unified Communications
- Networking
- Routers, Switches, Security, and Storage Networking
- Wireless
- Facility Management, Monitoring and Control

Evaluation Process

Proposals were evaluated in accordance with Part 7 of the Utah Procurement Code by an Evaluation Committee comprised of representatives from the State of Utah, Michigan, Washington, Alaska, Florida, Louisiana, and Minnesota. A representative from the Utah Division of Purchasing attended the evaluation committee meetings to ensure that the process outlined in the Procurement Code was followed but was not a voting member on the evaluation committee. Under Utah Code 63G-6a-702(2), the RFP process was used because criteria other than cost were considered important in determining which proposal provides the best value to the State. These other factors (other than cost) were highly significant in determining which vendor's proposal provided the best value to the State. The following paragraphs describe each scoring category and explain and compare the scores assigned to each proposal by the State's evaluation committee.

Twenty-Nine proposals were received on September 11, 2018 through the State of Utah's eProcurement system. The preliminary evaluation stage was conducted by the State of Utah to ensure compliance with the RFP's minimum qualifications.

1. Minimum Qualifications.

The RFP requested that an awarded Contractor provide the following pass/fail criteria as minimum mandatory information:

Mandatory Minimum Requirements	RFP Section	Pass/Fail
Acknowledgement of Amendments	SciQuest	Pass/Fail
RFP Development	4.1.1	Pass/Fail
Evaluation of Proposals	4.1.2	Pass/Fail
Proposed Categories	4.1.3	Pass/Fail
Delivery	4.1.4	Pass/Fail
Credit Rating	4.1.5	Pass/Fail
OEMs Only	4.1.6	Pass/Fail

Upon preliminary review of the mandatory pass/fail RFP criteria, 0 proposals were rejected and determined non-response for failing to conform to the mandatory minimum. 29 proposals were submitted to the evaluation committee for technical scoring.

2. Technical OEM Evaluated Qualifications.

In this stage proposals were evaluated against the following 6 criterion:

Evaluation Criteria	RFP Section	Points Possible
Company Profile and References	4.2.1	50.0
Ability to Supply NASPO ValuePoint Membership	ber States 4.2.2	2 50.0
Ability to Provide Technical Support to End	Users 4.2.3	50.0
Qualifications and Technical Ability	4.2.4	25.0
Security	4.2.5	50.0
Environmental	4.2.6	25.0

As outlined in the SK18001 RFP, in order to be eligible to proceed onto Stage 3: Category Qualification Evaluation proposals were required to score a minimum of 162.5 points within the Stage 2 evaluation. A total of 250.0 points were available in this stage of the evaluation. Proposals that did not receive the required minimum score threshold were disqualified and eliminated from further consideration.

As outlined in the SK18001 RFP, during the technical evaluation phase the evaluation committee determined that the proposals that received an average score of 3.25 (equivalent of 65%) or higher per criterion provided sufficient information to the evaluation committee to demonstrate their proposal exceeded the addressed requirements for the category. Individual criterion were scored on a scale of 1 to 5, as outlined in the RFP. Overall, the evaluation committee determined that these proposals would allow Participating Entities an opportunity to make a best value determination based on the proposals provided by the offerors.

The evaluation committee determined that proposals that received an average score of less than 3.25 (equivalent of 65%) did not provide sufficient information to demonstrate to the evaluation committee that their proposals met the outlined requirements.

Following this stage 2 evaluation, 20 proposals received technical scores that met or exceeded the minimum technical point requirements outlined in the RFP and proceeded onto stage 3 category qualification evaluation. Refer to Exhibit 1: Master Summary Evaluation for the distribution of scores given.

9 proposals were rejected for failing to meet the required minimum technical scoring thresholds outlined in the RFP. The rejected proposals did not adequately demonstrate that their offered solutions met the minimum threshold to proceed onto the stage 3 evaluation. The evaluation

committee determined that these proposals did not substantively address the topics/criterion identified in SK18001 RFP. Refer to Exhibit 1: Master Summary Evaluation for the distribution of scores given.

3. Technical Category Evaluation (Per Category).

In this stage proposals were evaluated against the following 5 criterion, per category the proposal sought an award in:

Evaluation Criteria	RFP Section	Points Possible
Ability to meet Requirements of Selected Category	4.3.1	100.0
Consumption Models	4.3.2	25.0
Category Specific Security	4.3.3	50.0
Open Standards and Interoperability	4.3.4	50.0
Value Added Services	4.3.5	25.0
Total Stage 3 Points Per Category		250.0 points

The total possible points and the minimum score threshold that proposals were required to receive were as follows:

Award Categories	Total Points Possible	Stage 3: Minimum Threshold
Unified Communications	250.0	175.0
Networking	250.0	175.0
Routers, Switches, Security, and Storage Networking	250.0	175.0
Wireless	250.0	175.0
Facility Management, Monitoring, and Control	250.0	175.0

Proposals that did not receive the required minimum score threshold were disqualified and eliminated from further consideration.

As outlined in the SK18001 RFP, during the technical evaluation phase the evaluation committee determined that the proposals that received an average score of 3.5 (equivalent of 70%) or higher per criterion provided sufficient information to the evaluation committee to demonstrate their proposal exceeded the addressed requirements for the category. Individual criterion were scored on a scale of 1 to 5, as outlined in the RFP. Overall, the evaluation committee determined that these proposals would allow Participating Entities an opportunity to make a best value determination based on the proposals provided by the offerors.

The evaluation committee determined that proposals that received an average score of less than 3.5 (equivalent of 70%) did not provide sufficient information to demonstrate to the evaluation committee that their proposals met the outlined requirements.

Following this stage 3 evaluation, 14 proposals received technical scores that met or exceeded the minimum technical point requirements outlined in the RFP and proceeded onto stage 4 cost evaluation. Refer to Exhibit 1: Master Summary Evaluation for the distribution of scores given.

6 proposals were fully rejected for failing to meet the required minimum technical scoring thresholds outlined in the RFP. 2 proposals were partially rejected for failing to meet the required minimum technical scoring thresholds for some of the award categories applied for. The rejected proposals did not adequately demonstrate that their offered solutions met the minimum threshold to proceed onto the stage 3 evaluation. The evaluation committee determined that these proposals did not substantively address the topics/criterion identified in SK18001 RFP. Refer to Exhibit 1: Master Summary Evaluation for the distribution of scores given.

4. Cost Proposal Evaluation.

The 14 offerors that met the minimum 70% score threshold, had their Cost Proposals evaluated as outlined in the SK18001 RFP. Offerors offering no minimum discount (or 0%) off MSRP for a given category were deemed non-responsive to that category.

Proposals then separated into the following groups:

- Group 1: those Offerors whose proposal qualifies for all Award Categories.
- Group 2: those Offerors whose proposal qualifies one or more Award Categories, but not all Award Categories.

A total of 166.7 cost points were available per Category. The Offeror with the highest proposed Average Minimum Discount % off List within the Category received the 166.7 cost points. All other proposals received a portion of the cost points based on how much lower their proposed Average Minimum Discount % was from the highest. The formula to compute cost points is: (Proposed Average Discount % / Highest Average Minimum Discount %) * Total Cost Points Available. As provided in the Attachment E Cost Proposal the Average Minimum Discount % for a given category was calculated by averaging:

- Hardware and Software (on premise)
- Cloud Services
- Service Packages (i.e., Maintenance, etc.)

As provided in the SK18001 RFP, the Average Minimum Discount % off within Group 1 proposals were compared against the Group 1 proposals. The Average Minimum Discount % off within Group 2 proposals were compared against the Group 2 proposals. Applying this cost formula as outlined in the SK18001 RFP, cost points were assigned as represented in Exhibit 1: Master Summary Evaluation.

As outlined in the SK18001 RFP, awards were made to responsive and responsible offerors whose proposal received the minimum technical point threshold, provided a Cost Proposal as described in the RFP, and achieved a minimum total combined points (technical points + cost points) of:

- 466.69 total points for <u>Unified Communications</u>
- 466.69 total points for Networking
- 466.69 total points for Routers, Switches, Security, and Storage Networking
- 466.69 total points for Wireless
- 466.69 total points for Facility Management, Monitoring, and Control

Vendors seeking an award in categories only received an award in the respective category if their total combined points meet the award threshold for the category (i.e, 466.69 points for Networking). Provided below are the awarded vendors and their accompanying awarded categories:

	Unified Communications	Networking	Routers, Switches, Security, and Storage Networking	Wireless	Facility Management, Monitoring, and Control
AT&T	X				
ATOS	X				
Cisco	X	Χ	X	Χ	X
Cradlepoint		Χ	X	Χ	
Extreme		X	X	X	
Networks					
Hewlett Packard		Χ	X	Χ	
Enterprise					
Juniper		Χ	X		
Networks					
NEC	X			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Palo Alto			X		
Networks					

Conclusion

Based on the justifications outlined above, and pursuant to the SK18001 RFP, the 9 offerors identified above provide the best value to the State and other participating entities. Subject to successful negotiations of the terms and conditions, each has been awarded a contract.

Data Communications Products & Services Solicitation # SK18001 Master Summary Evaluation

Total Proposals:	29
# Awarded:	9
# Rejected:	20

	Stage 2 Threshold:	162.5	points		St	age 3 Threshold	l: 175	points								A	ward Threshold	466.69					
				Stage 3:	Category Qualification Ev	aluation					Sta	age 4: Cos	t Proposal	Evaluation					T	otal Combined Sco	res		4
		Stage 2. OEW	1. Unified		3. Routers, Switches, Security, and Storage		5. Facility Management, Monitoring and		Jnified unications	2. Net	working	and S	s, Security, Storage orking		ireless		y Management, ing and Control	1. Unified Communicati		Switches, Security, and Storage		5. Facility Management, Monitoring and	
Vendors	Minimums	Evlauted	Communications	2. Networking		4. Wireless	Control	%	Points	%	Points	%	Points	%	Points	%	Points	ons	2. Networking	Networking	4. Wireless	Control	Award Status
Group 1: Those Offerors whose	se proposal qualifies for al	I Award Categorie	es.																				
Cisco	Pass	179.4	191.9	191.9	191.9	190.0	190.0	18.00%	166.70	18.00%	166.70	18.00%	166.70	18.00%	166.70	18.00%	166.70	537.95	537.95	537.95	536.08	536.08	Awarded
Group 2: Those Offerors whose	se proposal qualifies one o	or more Award Ca	tegories, but not all	Award Categori	es																		
AT&T	Pass	170.6	182.5	136.3	149.4		158.1	25.00%	138.92									492.04	306.88	320.00	-	328.75	Awarded
ATOS	Pass	209.4	208.8					24.00%	133.36									551.49	-	-	-	-	Awarded
Cradlepoint	Pass	188.8		190.0	191.3	188.8				25.00%	151.55	25.00%	151.55	25.00%	166.70				530.30	531.55	544.20	-	Awarded
Extreme Networks	Pass	186.9		190.6	196.9	195.0				18.67%	113.17	18.67%	113.17	18.67%	124.49				490.67	496.92	506.37	-	Awarded
Hewlett Packard Enterprise	Pass	181.9		188.1	188.1	193.1				25.00%	151.55	25.00%	151.55	25.00%	166.70				521.55	521.55	541.70	-	Awarded
Juniper Networks	Pass	183.1		180.0	186.3					27.50%	166.70	27.50%	166.70						529.83	536.08	-	ı	Awarded
NEC	Pass	175.0	190.6					30.00%	166.70									532.33	-	-	-	ī	Awarded
Palo Alto Networks	Pass	186.3			198.1							15.00%	90.93						-	475.30	-	1	Awarded
Vendor 3	Pass	193.8	196.9					8.33%	46.29									436.91	-	-	-	•	No Award
Vendor 6	Pass	185.0		183.8	196.9		166.9			7.87%	47.71	9.08%	55.04						416.46	436.92	-		No Award
Vendor 7	Pass	180.0		193.1	189.4					4.00%	24.25	4.00%	24.25	_					397.37	393.62	-	-	No Award
Vendor 9	Pass	178.8			186.9							7.67%	46.49						-	412.12	-	-	No Award
Vendor 13	Pass	181.3	195.0					10.00%	55.57									431.82	-	-	-	-	No Award
Vendor 2	Pass	182.5	118.8		111.9	110.6																	
Vendor 10	Pass	181.9	160.0																				
Vendor 11	Pass	179.4	165.6																				
Vendor 15	Pass	189.4		156.9	166.3	165.6																	
Vendor 16	Pass	183.1			170.0	170.0																	
Vendor 18	Pass	168.1	173.8				169.4																
Vendor 1	Pass	141.9																					
Vendor 4	Pass	129.4																					
Vendor 5	Pass	145.6																					
Vendor 8	Pass	135.6																					
Vendor 12	Pass	128.8																					
Vendor 14	Pass	138.8																					
Vendor 17	Pass	152.5																					
Vendor 19	Pass	158.8																					
Vendor 20	Pass	150.0																					

Exhibit 1: Master Summary Evaluation

SK18001 Data Communications Pro	oducts & Se	ervices Scor	esheet Sur	nmary																							
Stage 1: Minimum Mandatory Requirements	Vendor 1	Vendor 2	AT&T	ATOS	Vendor 3	Vendor 4	Cisco	Cradlepoint Vendor 5	Vendor 6	Extreme	Vendor 7	Hewlett Packard Vendor	8 Juniper	Vendor 9	Vendor 10	Vendor 11	NEC	Palo Alto	Vendor 12 V	endor 13	Vendor 14 V	endor 15	Vendor 16	Vendor 17	Vendor 18	Vendor 19 Ven	ndor 20
Acknowledgement of Amendments	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass		Pass	Pass	Pass Pass	Pass	Networks	Pass	Enterprise Pass Pass	Networks	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Networks		Pass		Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass		Pass
2 RFP Development	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
3 Evaluation of Proposals	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
4 Proposed Categories	Pass Pass	Pass	Pass Pass	Pass		Pass Pass	Pass	Pass Pass		Pass Pass	Pass	Pass Pass		Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass Pass		Pass		Pass	Pass Pass	Pass Pass	Pass Pass		Pass
5 Delivery 6 Credit Rating 7 OEMs Only	Pass	Pass Pass	Pass	Pass Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass Pass	Pass Pass Pass Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass Pass	Pass Pass	Pass		Pass	Pass Pass	Pass Pass	Pass		Pass	Pass	Pass Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass Pass
	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass Pass	Pass	Pass Extreme	Pass	Pass Pass Hewlett	luninas		Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass Palo Alto	Pass			Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass		Pass
Weight Stage 2: OEM Evaluated Qualifications	Vendor 1	Vendor 2	AT&T	ATOS	Vendor 3	Vendor 4	Cisco	Cradlepoint Vendor 5	Vendor 6	Networks	Vendor 7	Packard Vendor Enterprise	8 Networks	Vendor 9	Vendor 10	Vendor 11	NEC	Networks	Vendor 12 V	endor 13	Vendor 14 V	endor 15	Vendor 16	Vendor 17	Vendor 18	Vendor 19 Ven	idor 20
Criteria #1 - Company Profile and References																											
Evaluator 1 Evaluator 2	4.	.0 4.0	4.	0 4	.0 4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0 4.	.0 4.0	4.0	4.1	0 4.0	3.0 4.1	0 4.	.0 4.0	0 4.0	4.1	0 4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Evaluator 2 Evaluator 3	2.	0 3.0		0 5	0 4.0	2.0	3.0	3.0 3.0 3.	0 3.0	3.0			3.0 3.1	0 3	.0 5.0	0 5.0	4.0		2.0	3.0	2.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	3.0
Evaluator 4	2.	.0 3.0	3.	0 3	.0 3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0 3.	.0 3.0	3.0	3.0	0 3.0	2.0 3.0	0 3.	.0 3.0	0 3.0	3.0	0 3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Evaluator 5	4.	.0 4.0	4.	0 4	.0 4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0 4.	.0 3.0	3.0	4.1	0 5.0	2.0 3.0	0 4.	.0 4.0	0 4.0	4.1	0 4.0	2.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0
Evaluator 6	3.	.0 4.0	4.	0 4	.0 4.0	3.0	5.0	3.0 4.	.0 4.0	4.0	5.0	0 4.0	3.0 4.0	0 4.	.0 4.0	0 4.0	4.1	0 4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0
Evaluator 7	2.	.0 2.0	2.	0 4	.0 2.0	1.0	3.0	2.0 2.	.0 2.0	2.0	5.0	0 3.0	1.0 2.0	0 2.	.0 4.0	0 4.0	3.0	0 2.0	1.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0
Evaluator 8	3.		2.	0 4		3.0	3.0	4.0 4.	.0 2.0	9 4.0		0 3.0	3.0 4.0		.0 3.0	0 3.0	4.1	0 4.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	3.0
Total Average Score Criteria #1 - Total Points	2.	1.8 3.5	3.	0 4	.0 3.5	2.4	3.9	3.4 3.	.4 3.1	1 3.4	4.	1 3.9	2.5 3.	4 3	.3 3.8	8 3.8	3.	8 3.5	2.3	3.8	2.6	4.1	3.9	3.4	3.6	3.0	3.0
10.0 (Total Possible Points = 50.0)	27.	.5 35.0	30.	0 40	.0 35.0	23.8	38.8	8 33.8 33.	.8 31.3	3 33.8	41.	3 38.8	25.0 33.	8 32	.5 37.5	5 37.5	37.	5 35.0	22.5	37.5	26.3	41.3	38.8	33.8	36.3	30.0	30.0
Criteria #2 - Ability to Supply NASPO ValuePoir Evaluator 1	ni 2	4.0	2	0 4	0 40	4.0	4.0	40 3	0 40	2.0	41	4.0	2.0	0 4	0 30	0 30	2	0 20	2.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0
Evaluator 1 Evaluator 2	3.	1.0 4.0	3.	0 5	.0 4.0	4.0	4.0	3 4.0 3. 3 4.0 3.	.0 5.0	3.0	4.1	0 4.0	3.0 4	0 4	.0 3.0	0 3.0	3.	0 3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0
Evaluator 3	3.	1.0 4.0	4.	0 5	.0 4.0		3.0	5.0 3.	.0 4.0	4.0	5.0	0 5.0	4.0 5.0	0 5.	.0 5.0	0 5.0	4.0	0 5.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0
Evaluator 4	3.	3.0	3.	0 4	.0 4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0 3.	.0 3.0	3.0	3.0	0 3.0	3.0 3.0	0 4.	.0 3.0	0 3.0	3.0	0 3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Evaluator 5	3.	.0 4.0	4.	0 4.	.0 3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0 3.	.0 4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0 4.1		.0 3.0	0 3.0	3.	0 3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
Evaluator 6	3.		3.	0 5.	.0 3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0 3.	.0 3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	2.0 3.0		.0 3.0	0 3.0	3.0	0 4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Evaluator 7	3.		3.	0 5		3.0	5.0	4.0 2.	.0 4.0	4.0	4.0		2.0 4.1			0 3.0	4.1		2.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0
Evaluator 8 Total Average Score	2.						4.0	4.0 1.					3.0 4.0			0 3.0 4 3.4			2.0	2.0		4.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	2.0
Criteria #2 - Total Points	2.	.0 3.0	3.	4.	3.4	3.0	4.0		3.0	3.0	4.5		0.0		.5	3.4	3.	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.5	3.0	3.0	3.3		2.0
10.0 (Total Possible Points = 50.0) Criteria #3 - Ability to Provide Technical Suppo	27.	.5 36.3	31.	3 45	.0 33.8	35.0	40.0	0 40.0 26.	.3 37.5	5 36.3	40.	0 37.5	30.0 37.	5 42	1.5 33.8	8 33.8	35.	0 37.5	26.3	30.0	27.5	38.8	35.0	30.0	32.5	32.5	27.5
Evaluator 1	3	10 40	4	0 4	0 40	20	3.0	40 3	0 40	4.0	41	0 40	3.0 4	0 4	.0 4.0	0 3.0	4	0 3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0
Evaluator 2	2.	1.0 4.0	4.	0 4	.0 5.0	1.0	5.0	3.0 3.	.0 5.0	5.0	3.0	0 4.0	3.0 4.0	0 4.	.0 4.0	0 4.0	3.0	0 4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Evaluator 3	3.			0 5	.0 5.0	1.0	4.0	5.0 3.		5.0	5.0	0 4.0	3.0 5.0	0 4.	.0 5.0	0 4.0	4.0	0 4.0	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.0
Evaluator 4	3.	1.0 4.0	4.	0 4	.0 4.0	2.0	3.0	4.0 3.	.0 4.0	4.0	4.0	0 4.0	3.0 4.	0 4.	.0 4.0	0 4.0	3.1	0 3.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Evaluator 5	4.		4.	0 4	.0 4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0 3.	.0 4.0	5.0	3.0	0 4.0	3.0 4.	0 4.		0 4.0	3.	0 3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0
Evaluator 6	2.	.0 5.0	3.	0 5.	.0 4.0	2.0	3.0	5.0 3.	.0 4.0	5.0	4.0	0 4.0	2.0 3.0	0 4.	.0 3.0	0 4.0	3.1	0 3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Evaluator 7	2.	1.0 3.0	3.	0 5.	0 4.0	1.0	3.0	4.0 2.	.0 4.0	3.0	4.0	0 4.0	1.0 4.1	0 2.	.0 4.0	3.0	2.1	3.0	1.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	4.0	4.0
Evaluator 8 Total Average Score	3.	8 4.0	4.	8 4			3.0	4.0 2	8 4.0	3 4.0	4.0	4.0	2.0 4.1	0 4.	8 3.0	3.0	3.1	1 3.3	2.1	3.0	3.0	3.5	3.0	2.0	4.0	3.5	3.6
Criteria #3 - Total Points 10.0 (Total Possible Points = 50.0)	27.	5 413	37	5 43	. 40.5	40.0	25.0	0 40.0 27.	5 40.4	5 43.8	38	8 40.0	25.0 40	0 07		0.0	0.	0.0	24.0	38.8	20.5	25.0	33.8	26.3	24.0	35.0	20.0
Criteria #4 - Qualifications and Technical Ability	v 21.	.0 41.0	37.	. 43	.0 42.0	10.0	30.0	40.0 21	-0 42.0	43.0	30.	40.0	20.0 40.	37.	.5 30.0	0 30.3	31.	3 32.0	21.3	30.0	32.3	33.0	33.0	20.3	31.3	33.0	30.3
Evaluator 1	4.	.0 3.0	4.	0 4	.0 4.0	3.0	2.0	4.0 3.	.0 4.0	4.0	2.0	0 4.0	3.0 4.	0 4	.0 4.0	0 4.0	4.	0 4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0
Evaluator 2	3.	1.0 4.0	3.	0 4	.0 5.0	2.0	3.0	4.0 3.	.0 4.0	4.0	2.0	0 4.0	3.0 4.0	0 3.	.0 3.0	0 3.0	3.0	0 3.0	3.0	4.0	1.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	3.0
Evaluator 3	3.	1.0 3.0	3.	0 5	.0 5.0	3.0	2.0	5.0 3.	.0 5.0	5.0	2.0	0 5.0	2.0 5.0	0 4.	.0 4.0	0 4.0	5.0	0 4.0	3.0	4.0	2.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Evaluator 4	3.	.0 3.0	3.	0 3	.0 3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0 3.	.0 3.0	3.0	3.0	0 3.0	2.0 3.	0 2.	.0 3.0	0 3.0	3.0	0 3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Evaluator 5 Evaluator 6	4.	.0 4.0	3.	0 3.	.0 4.0	2.0	3.0	4.0 4.	.0 4.0	4.0	3.0	0 4.0	4.0 4.1	0 3.	.0 3.0	0 3.0	4.1	0 4.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0
Evaluator 7	3.	.0 3.0	4.	0 4	.0 5.0	3.0	2.0	3 4.0 2.	0 4.0	4.0	2.0	0 4.0	2.0 4.1	0 4.	.0 3.0	0 3.0	3.1	0 3.0	3.0	4.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0
Evaluator 8	2.	0 3.0	3	0 3	.0 4.0	1.0	1.0	4.0 2.	0 4.0	3.0	21	0 3.0	3.0 4.1		0 3.0	0 2.0	3.0	0 4.0	2.0	4.0	1.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0
Total Average Score	3.						2.1	4.1 3.					2.8 3.1		.3 3.1	1 3.1			2.9	3.6		3.5	3.3		2.8	3.4	2.9
Criteria #4 - Total Points 5.0 (Total Possible Points = 25.0)	15.	i.6 15.6	15	6 18	.8 20.6	3 10 6	10 6	8 20.6 15.	.0 201	19.4	10.1	6 20.0	13.8 19.	4 16	1.3 15 6	6 15.6	18	1 17.5	14.4	18 1	8.8	17.5	16.3	15.0	13.8	16.9	14.4
Criteria #5 - Security	10.	10.0	10.	1	20.0	1			20.	.5.4	10.		100	1	10.0	.5.0	10.						. 5.0		.5.5		
Evaluator 1	4.	1.0 4.0	3.	0 4	.0 4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0 4.	.0 4.0	4.0	3.0	0 3.0	4.0 3.	0 3.	.0 3.0	0 3.0	3.	0 4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0
Evaluator 2	2.	1.0 4.0		• •	.0 4.0	0.0	4.0	3.0 3.0	.0 3.0	3.0	3.0	0 3.0	3.0 4.		.0 3.0	0 3.0	3.	0 5.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0
Evaluator 3	4.		4.		.0 5.0		4.0	5.0 3.	.0 4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0 4.1		.0 5.0	0 5.0	3.	0 5.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Evaluator 4 Evaluator 5	3.		4.		.0 4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0 3.	.0 4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0 3.0	3.	.0 4.0	0 4.0	3.1	4.0	3.0 4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0
Evaluator 5 Evaluator 6	4.		4.		0 5.0	2.0	2.0	3.0 3.0 3.	0 2.0	3.0	3.0	0 2.0	3.0 2.0	0 3.	0 40	0 3.0	4.	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0
Evaluator 7	2.						2.0	3.0 3.0 3.	0.0	3.0			2.0 2.1		.0 4.0	0 4.0		0 5.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	4.0	4.0 5.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0
Evaluator 8	2.						4.0	4.0 3.					4.0 4.0		.0 4.0	0 4.0			4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0
Total Average Score		.0 3.8	3.	6 4.	3 4.3	3.3	3.4	3.8 3.	0 3.3	3.5	3.4	4 2.6	3.3 3.	1 3.	.3 3.8	8 3.8			3.3	4.1	2.9	4.0	4.3	2.6	3.9	3.1	3.0
Criteria #5 - Total Points (Total Possible Points = 50.0)	30		36	3 42	5 42.5	32.5	22.5	8 37.5 30	0 324	5 35.0	33		32.5 31		5 375	5 37.5	32	5 438	32.5	413	28.8	40.0	42.5	26.3	38.8	31.3	30.0
Criteria #6 - Environmental	30.	37.0	30.	42	42.0	32.0	33.6	07.0 30.	32.0	30.0	33.1	20.0	31.	- 32	37.0	37.0	JZ.	- 43.0	UE.U	41.0	20.0	40.0	42.0	20.3	30.0	01.0	
Evaluator 1	3.	1.0 4.0	4.	0 4	.0 4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0 3.	.0 4.0	4.0	3.0	0 4.0	2.0 4.	0 3.	.0 3.0	0 3.0	4.	0 4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	2.
Evaluator 2	2.	.0 4.0	3.	0 4	.0 3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0 3.	.0 3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0 4.	0 3.	.0 3.0	0 3.0	3.	0 4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0
Evaluator 3	4.	4.0	5.	5	.0 5.0	3.0	5.0	4.0 3.	.0 5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	2.0 5.0	5.	5.0	0 5.0	5.1	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	3.0
Evaluator 4 Evaluator 5	2.	0 4.0	4.	0 4	0 4.0	2.0	4.0	3.0 3.0 3.0	0 4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	1.0 4.1	4.	0 4.0	0 4.0	4.	0 4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	1.0	3.0
Evaluator 6	4.	.0 4.0	4	0 4	.0 5.0	2.0	5.0	4.0 2.	.0 5.0	4.0	3.0	0.0	2.0 4.0	0 3.	.0 4.0	0 4.0	4.0	0 4.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	2.0
Evaluator 7	2.	.0 2.0	4.	0 3	.0 3.0	1.0	5.0	3.0 1.	.0 5.0	3.0	3.0	0 4.0	2.0 5.0	0 4.	.0 3.0	0 3.0	5.0	0 3.0	1.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	5.0	2.0	3.0	2.0
Evaluator 8	2.	.0 2.0	4.	0 3	.0 4.0	1.0	4.0	2.0 3.	0 4.0	4.0	4.0	0 4.0	2.0 4.0	0 3.	.0 4.0	0 4.0	4.0	0 4.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	2.0	2.0
Total Average Score	2.	.8 3.4	4.	0 3.	.9 3.9	2.3	4.3	3.4 2.	6 4.3	3.8	3.1	1 3.9	1.9 4.3	3 3.	.5 3.8	8 3.8	4.	1 4.0	2.4	3.1	3.0	3.4	3.4	4.3	3.1	2.6	2.4
Criteria #6 - Total Points (Total Possible 25.0)	13	8 400	20	0 40	4 10.4	44.5	24.5	16.0	1 24.5	3 100	46	6 10.4	94 24	3 47	5 400	8 18.8	20	6 20.0	11 0	15.6	15.0	16.0	16.0	213	15.0	13.1	11.0
	10.	10.0	20.	~ 19	aj 19.4	11.3	21.3	o 10.8 13.	21.3	Extreme	15.0	Hewlett	Juniper	1/.	18.8	10.0	20.	Palo Alto	11.9	10.0	10.0	10.9	16.9	21.3	10.6	13.1	11.9
Weight Stage 2: OEM Evaluated Qualifications	Vendor 1	Vendor 2	AT&T	ATOS	Vendor 3	Vendor 4	Cisco	Cradlepoint Vendor 5	Vendor 6	Extreme Networks	Vendor 7	Packard Vendor Enterprise	8 Juniper Networks	Vendor 9	Vendor 10	Vendor 11	NEC	Palo Alto Networks	Vendor 12 V	endor 13	Vendor 14 V	endor 15	Vendor 16	Vendor 17	Vendor 18	Vendor 19 Ven	idor 20
Total Technical Points (Total Possible Points = 250.0)																											
(Total Possible Points = 250.0) Minimum Threshold = 162.5	141.	1.9 182.5	170.	6 209	.4 193.8	129.4	179.4	4 188.8 145.	.6 185.0	186.9	180.	0 181.9	135.6 183.	1 178	1.8 181.9	9 179.4	175.	0 186.3	128.8	181.3	138.8	189.4	183.1	152.5	168.1	158.8	150.0

SK18001 <u>Data Communications Products & Services</u> Scoresheet Summary

Weight	Stage 3: Category Qualification Evaluation	Vendor 2	AT&T	ATOS	Vendor 3	Cisco	Cradlepoint	Vendor 6	Extreme Networks	Vendor 7	Hewlett Packard Enterprise	Juniper Networks	Vendor 9	Vendor 10	Vendor 11	NEC	Palo Alto Networks	Vendor 13	Vendor 15	Vendor 16	Vendor 18
	ory 1: Unified Communications Criteria #1 - Ability to Meet Requirements for										Entorpriso										
	Seclected Category																				
	Evaluator 1	2.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0								4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0		-	4.0
	Evaluator 2	1.0												3.0	3.0	3.0		4.0		-	3.0
	Evaluator 3	2.0												4.0				4.0		-	4.0
	Evaluator 4	3.0												4.0		4.0		4.0		-	3.0
	Evaluator 5	3.0		5.0										4.0		5.0		4.0			4.0
	Evaluator 6	2.0	3.0	4.0	4.0									3.0	3.0	3.0		4.0			3.0
	Evaluator 7	1.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0)							4.0	4.0	5.0		5.0			3.0
	Evaluator 8	3.0												3.0	3.0	4.0		4.0			3.0
	Total Average Score	2.1	3.9	4.4	4.1	4.0								3.6	3.5	4.0		4.1		_	3.4
	Criteria #1 - Total Points																				
20.0	(Total Possible Points = 100.0)	42.5	77.5	87.5	82.5	80.0								72.5	70.0	80.0		82.5			67.5
	Criteria #2 - Consumption Models																				
	Evaluator 1	2.0												4.0		4.0		3.0			4.0
	Evaluator 2	1.0												3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0
	Evaluator 3	2.0												4.0		3.0		3.0			3.0
.	Evaluator 4	3.0												3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0
	Evaluator 5	3.0												3.0	3.0	4.0		4.0			3.0
	Evaluator 6 Evaluator 7	3.0			4.0 5.0									4.0 3.0	4.0 3.0	4.0		4.0 3.0			4.0
	Evaluator 7 Evaluator 8	3.0												3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0 4.0
-	Total Average Score	2.5												3.4		3.5		3.3			3.4
	Criteria #2 - Total Points	2.5	3.9	4.3	4.0	4.0								3.4	J. I	3.5		3.3			3.4
5.0	(Total Possible Points = 25.0)	12.5	19.4	21.3	20.0	20.0								16.9	15.6	17.5		16.3			16.9
3.0	Criteria #3 - Category Specific Security	12.5	19.4	21.3	20.0	20.0								10.9	13.0	17.5		10.3			10.9
	Evaluator 1	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0								3.0	3.0	4.0		4.0			4.0
	Evaluator 2	2.0												3.0				4.0			3.0
	Evaluator 3	2.0												3.0				4.0			4.0
	Evaluator 4	3.0		5.0										3.0		4.0		4.0			4.0
	Evaluator 5	3.0												2.0		4.0		5.0			4.0
	Evaluator 6	3.0	3.0	5.0	2.0									2.0	4.0	4.0		3.0			4.0
	Evaluator 7	2.0	2.0	5.0	3.0	4.0)							1.0	2.0	4.0		4.0			4.0
	Evaluator 8	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0									3.0	3.0	4.0		4.0			4.0
	Total Average Score	2.6	3.4	4.5	3.5	4.1								2.5	3.4	3.9		4.0			3.9
	Criteria #3 - Total Points																				
10.0	(Total Possible Points = 50.0)	26.3	33.8	45.0	35.0	41.3	3							25.0	33.8	38.8		40.0		_	38.8
	Criteria #4 - Open Standards and																				
	Interoperability																				
	Evaluator 1	3.0												4.0		4.0		3.0			4.0
	Evaluator 2	2.0												3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0
	Evaluator 3	2.0												3.0				3.0			3.0
	Evaluator 4	2.0												3.0				4.0		-	4.0
	Evaluator 5	3.0												3.0	2.0	4.0		4.0		-	4.0
	Evaluator 6 Evaluator 7	3.0 2.0												4.0 2.0				4.0		-	3.0 3.0
	Evaluator 8	3.0												3.0	3.0	3.0		4.0		-	3.0
	Total Average Score	2.5												3.1	3.1	3.4		3.6		-	3.4
	Criteria #4 - Total Points	2.0	5.0	5.5	5.9	5.0								3.1	5.1	3.4		5.0			5.4
	(Total Possible Points = 50.0)	25.0	36.3	35.0	38.8	32.5								31.3	31.3	33.8		36.3			33.8
. 3.0	Criteria #5 - Value Added Services	20.0	20.0	30.0	23.0	32.0								51.0	5 7.0	23.0		55.0			30.0
	Evaluator 1	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0								3.0	3.0	4.0		4.0			3.0
	Evaluator 2	2.0												3.0				4.0			3.0
	Evaluator 3	2.0												3.0				4.0			3.0
	Evaluator 4	3.0				4.0)							3.0		4.0		4.0			4.0
	Evaluator 5	2.0				5.0)							3.0	3.0	5.0		4.0			4.0
	Evaluator 6	3.0												3.0				4.0			4.0
	Evaluator 7	2.0												2.0		5.0		5.0			3.0
	Evaluator 8	3.0												3.0	3.0	4.0		3.0			3.0
	Total Average Score	2.5	3.1	4.0	4.1	3.6								2.9	3.0	4.1		4.0			3.4
	Criteria #5 - Total Points (Total Possible Points = 25.0)		. = -													Ac -					4==
5.0	(Total Fussible Fullits = 25.0)	12.5	15.6	20.0	20.6	18.1								14.4	15.0	20.6		20.0			16.9
		V	AT0T	4.00	\/	01	0	Manual and O	Extreme	Manufact =	Hewlett	Juniper					Palo Alto				
		Vendor 2	AT&T	ATOS	Vendor 3	Cisco	Cradlepoint	vendor 6	Networks	Vendor 7	Packard	Networks	Vendor 9	Vendor 10	Vendor 11	NEC	Networks	Vendor 13	Vendor 15	Vendor 16	Vendor 18
											Enterprise										
	Total Technical Points																				
	(Total Possible Points = 250.0)																				
	Minimum Threshold = 175.0	118.8	182.5	208.8	196.9	191.9								160.0	165.6	190.6		195.0			173.8
Cot	am a Or Materia white w																				
	ory 2: Networking																				
	Criteria #1 - Ability to Meet Requirements for																				
	Seclected Category						<u> </u>														
	Evaluator 1		3.0			4.0					4.0								3.0		
	Evaluator 2		3.0			4.0					4.0	4.0							3.0		
	Evaluator 3 Evaluator 4		3.0 3.0			3.0 4.0					4.0 4.0	4.0							3.0		
	Litalianio 7		3.0			4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0							5.0		

Stage 3 Category Qual Exhibit 1 - Page 3 of 7 Page 3 of 7

								Estrono	Hewle	tt					Dala Alfa			
Weight Stage 3: Category Qualification Evaluation	Vendor 2	AT&T	ATOS	Vendor 3	Cisco	Cradlepoint	Vendor 6	Extreme Networks	Vendor 7 Packa Enterpr		Vendor 9	Vendor 10 V	endor 11	IEC	Palo Alto Networks	Vendor 13	Vendor 15 Vendor 16	Vendor 18
Evaluator 5		4.0			5.0				5.0	4.0	5.0						4.0	
Evaluator 6 Evaluator 7	-	3.0			4.0				4.0 5.0		4.0						3.0	
Evaluator 8		3.0			4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0						3.0	
Total Average Score Criteria #1 - Total Points		3.0			4.0	4.1	3.8	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.1						3.1	
20.0 (Total Possible Points = 100.0)		60.0			80.0	82.5	75.0	82.5	85.0	80.0	32.5						62.5	
Criteria #2 - Consumption Models																		
Evaluator 1 Evaluator 2	-	3.0			4.0				4.0 3.0	3.0	3.0						3.0	
Evaluator 3		2.0			3.0				3.0	3.0	3.0						2.0	
Evaluator 4 Evaluator 5	-	3.0 4.0			5.0 4.0				4.0		4.0						3.0	
Evaluator 6		2.0			4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0						4.0	
Evaluator 7 Evaluator 8		3.0 4.0			4.0				4.0 3.0		3.0						3.0	
Total Average Score		3.0			4.0				3.6		3.3						3.0	
Criteria #2 - Total Points (Total Possible Points = 25.0)		15.0			20.0	17.5	20.0	20.0	18.1	17.5	16.3						15.0	
Criteria #3 - Category Specific Security	-	13.0			20.0	17.5	20.0	20.0	10.1	17.5	10.5						13.0	
Evaluator 1		3.0			4.0				4.0		3.0						4.0	
Evaluator 2 Evaluator 3		3.0			3.0 4.0				3.0 4.0		2.0						3.0	
Evaluator 4		3.0			5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0						4.0	
Evaluator 5 Evaluator 6		2.0			5.0				4.0	4.0	2.0						4.0 3.0	
Evaluator 7		3.0			4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.0	3.0						2.0	
Evaluator 8		2.0 2.9			4.0 4.1				4.0 4.0	3.6	3.0 2.9						4.0 3.4	
Total Average Score Criteria #3 - Total Points		2.9			4.1	3.9	3.0	3.5	4.0	5.0	2.3						3.4	
10.0 (Total Possible Points = 50.0)		28.8			41.3	38.8	36.3	35.0	40.0	36.3	28.8						33.8	
Criteria #4 - Open Standards and Interoperability																		
Evaluator 1		3.0			4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0						3.0	
Evaluator 2		2.0			3.0				4.0		3.0						3.0	
Evaluator 3 Evaluator 4	-	3.0			3.0				3.0	4.0	4.0						3.0	
Evaluator 5		2.0			4.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0						3.0	
Evaluator 6 Evaluator 7	-	1.0			3.0 2.0				3.0 4.0		3.0						3.0 2.0	
Evaluator 8	-	2.0			4.0				3.0		4.0						3.0	
Total Average Score		2.0			3.3	3.3	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.6	3.4						2.9	
Criteria #4 - Total Points 10.0 (Total Possible Points = 50.0)		20.0			32.5	32.5	35.0	33.8	33.8	36.3	33.8						28.8	
Criteria #5 - Value Added Services						,												
Evaluator 1 Evaluator 2	-	3.0			3.0				3.0 3.0		4.0						3.0	
Evaluator 3	-	3.0			4.0				3.0	4.0	4.0						3.0	
Evaluator 4		3.0			4.0				4.0		4.0						4.0	
Evaluator 5 Evaluator 6	_	3.0 1.0			5.0 3.0				4.0 3.0	3.0	3.0						4.0	
Evaluator 7		2.0			4.0			4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0						3.0	
Evaluator 8 Total Average Score		2.0			3.0				3.0 3.3		3.0 3.8						3.0 3.4	
Criteria #5 - Total Points																		
5.0 (Total Possible Points = 25.0)		12.5			18.1	18.8	17.5	19.4	16.3 Hewle		18.8						16.9	
	Vendor 2	AT&T	ATOS	Vendor 3	Cisco	Cradlepoint	Vendor 6	Extreme	Vendor 7 Packa		Vendor 9	Vendor 10 V	endor 11	IEC	Palo Alto Networks	Vendor 13	Vendor 15 Vendor 16	Vendor 18
								Networks	Enterpr						NetWorks			
Total Technical Points (Total Possible Points = 250.0)																		
Minimum Threshold = 175.0		136.3			191.9	190.0	183.8	190.6	193.1	188.1 1	80.0						156.9	
Category 3: Routers, Switches, Security, a	and Storage	Networking	9															
Seclected Category																		
Evaluator 1	2.0				4.0				4.0		4.0 4.0				4.0		4.0 4.0	
Evaluator 2 Evaluator 3	1.0 2.0				4.0 3.0				4.0 4.0	4.0	4.0 3.0				4.0		3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 3.0 4.0	
Evaluator 4	3.0	3.0			4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0 4.0				4.0		3.0 4.0	
Evaluator 5 Evaluator 6	3.0 2.0	4.0			5.0				5.0 4.0		5.0 4.0 4.0 4.0				5.0 4.0		4.0 4.0 3.0 4.0	
Evaluator 6 Evaluator 7	1.0				4.0				4.0		4.0 4.0				4.0		3.0 4.0	
Evaluator 8	3.0	4.0			4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0 4.0				4.0		3.0 4.0)
Total Average Score Criteria #1 - Total Points	2.1	3.3			4.0	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.0	4.1 3.9				4.1		3.3 3.8	В
20.0 (Total Possible Points = 100.0)	42.5	65.0			80.0	82.5	82.5	85.0	82.5	80.0	32.5 77.5				82.5		65.0 75.0	
Criteria #2 - Consumption Models										2.0								
Evaluator 1 Evaluator 2	2.0 1.0				4.0				4.0 3.0		3.0 4.0 3.0 3.0				3.0		3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0	
Evaluator 3	2.0				3.0				3.0	3.0	3.0 4.0				3.0		3.0 3.0 2.0 3.0	

Stage 3 Category Qual Exhibit 1 - Page 4 of 7
Page 4 of 7

Weight	Stage 3: Category Qualification Evaluation	Vendor 2	AT&T	ATOS	Vendor 3	Cisco	Cradlepoint	Vendor 6	Extreme Networks	Vendor 7	Hewlett Packard Enterprise	Juniper Networks	Vendor 9	Vendor 10	Vendor 11	NEC	Palo Alto Networks	Vendor 13	Vendor 15	Vendor 16	Vendor 18
	Evaluator 4	3.0	3.0			5.0				4.0	3.0	3.0					3.0		3.0	3.0	
	Evaluator 5 Evaluator 6	3.0	3.0 1.0			4.0					4.0 4.0	4.0	0 4.0				4.0		4.0	4.0	
	Evaluator 7	3.0	2.0			4.0			-		4.0	3.0					5.0		3.0	4.0	
	Evaluator 8	3.0	3.0			4.0					4.0	3.0	0 4.0				3.0		3.0	3.0	
	Total Average Score Criteria #2 - Total Points	2.5	2.5			4.0	3.5	4.1	4.0	3.6	3.5	3.3	3 3.8				3.5		3.1	3.4	
5.0	(Total Possible Points = 25.0)	12.5	12.5			20.0	17.5	20.6	20.0	18.1	17.5	16.3	3 18.8				17.5		15.6	16.9	
	Criteria #3 - Category Specific Security																				
	Evaluator 1	3.0	4.0			4.0					4.0	4.0					4.0		4.0	4.0	
	Evaluator 2 Evaluator 3	2.0	3.0			3.0 4.0					3.0	3.0	0 3.0				4.0		3.0	3.0	
	Evaluator 4	3.0	4.0			5.0					4.0	4.0					4.0		4.0	3.0	
	Evaluator 5	2.0	4.0			5.0					4.0	3.0	0 3.0				5.0		3.0	4.0	
	Evaluator 6 Evaluator 7	2.0	2.0 3.0			4.0					4.0	4.0					4.0 5.0		3.0 2.0	4.0 2.0	
	Evaluator 8	3.0	3.0			4.0					4.0	3.0					4.0		4.0	4.0	
	Total Average Score	2.4	3.3			4.1	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.6	3.3	3 3.5				4.3		3.3	3.4	
10.0	Criteria #3 - Total Points (Total Possible Points = 50.0)	22.0	22.5			44.3	40.0	20.0	40.0	40.0	26.2	20.5	25.0				42.5		32.5	22.0	
10.0	Criteria #4 - Open Standards and	23.8	32.5			41.3	40.0	38.8	40.0	40.0	36.3	32.5	5 35.0				42.5		32.5	33.8	
	Interoperability								<u> </u>												
	Evaluator 1	3.0	3.0			4.0					4.0	4.0					4.0		4.0		
-	Evaluator 2 Evaluator 3	2.0 1.0	3.0			3.0					3.0	3.0	0 3.0				3.0		3.0	3.0	
	Evaluator 4	2.0	3.0			3.0					4.0	4.0					4.0		4.0	4.0	
	Evaluator 5	2.0	3.0			4.0					4.0	4.0	0 4.0				5.0		4.0	3.0	
-	Evaluator 6 Evaluator 7	2.0	1.0			3.0 2.0					4.0 3.0	4.0					3.0 5.0		4.0 3.0	4.0 2.0	
	Evaluator 8	3.0	2.0			4.0					4.0	4.0	0 4.0				4.0		4.0	3.0	
	Total Average Score	2.1	2.3			3.3	3.3	3.6	3.3	3.3	3.6	3.6	6 3.9				3.9		3.6	3.3	
40.0	Criteria #4 - Total Points (Total Possible Points = 50.0)	24.2	20.5			20.5	20.5	20.2	20.5	20.5	20.2	20.0	20.0				20.0		36.3	20.5	
10.0	Criteria #5 - Value Added Services	21.3	22.5			32.5	32.5	36.3	32.5	32.5	36.3	36.3	3 38.8				38.8		30.3	32.5	
	Evaluator 1	3.0	4.0			3.0	4.0	4.0			4.0	4.0	0 3.0				3.0		3.0		
	Evaluator 2	1.0	3.0			3.0					4.0	4.0	0 3.0				3.0		3.0	2.0	
-	Evaluator 3 Evaluator 4	2.0 3.0	4.0 3.0			4.0					4.0	4.0	0 4.0				3.0 4.0		3.0 4.0	3.0	
	Evaluator 5	3.0	4.0			5.0					4.0	4.0	_				4.0		4.0	3.0	
	Evaluator 6	2.0	2.0			3.0					3.0	3.0	0 3.0				3.0		4.0	3.0	
	Evaluator 7 Evaluator 8	2.0 3.0	3.0 4.0			4.0 3.0					3.0 4.0	4.0	0 4.0				4.0 3.0		3.0	1.0 2.0	
	Total Average Score	2.4	3.4			3.6					3.6	3.8					3.4		3.4	2.4	
	Criteria #5 - Total Points		40.0																		
5.0	(Total Possible Points = 25.0)	11.9	16.9			18.1	18.8	18.8	19.4	16.3	18.1 Hewlett	18.8	8 16.9				16.9		16.9	11.9	
		Vendor 2	AT&T	ATOS	Vendor 3	Cisco	Cradlepoint	Vendor 6	Extreme Networks	Vendor 7	Packard Enterprise	Juniper Networks	Vendor 9	Vendor 10	Vendor 11	NEC	Palo Alto Networks	Vendor 13	Vendor 15	Vendor 16	Vendor 18
	Total Technical Points																				
	(Total Possible Points = 250.0) Minimum Threshold = 175.0	444.0	440.4			101.0	404.0	400.0	400.0	100.1	100.1	400.6	400.0				400.4		400.0	470.0	
<u> </u>	panimium rinesnolu = 175.0	111.9	149.4			191.9	191.3	196.9	196.9	189.4	188.1	186.3	3 186.9				198.1		166.3	170.0	
Categ	ory 4: Wireless																				
	Criteria #1 - Ability to Meet Requirements for																				
	Seclected Category																				
-	Evaluator 1 Evaluator 2	2.0 1.0				4.0			4.0		4.0								4.0 3.0		
	Evaluator 3	2.0				3.0	4.0		4.0		4.0								3.0	4.0	
	Evaluator 4	3.0				4.0	4.0		4.0		4.0								3.0	4.0	
	Evaluator 5 Evaluator 6	3.0 2.0				5.0 4.0			5.0 4.0		4.0 4.0								4.0 3.0	4.0	
	Evaluator 7	1.0				4.0	4.0		5.0		5.0								3.0	3.0	
	Evaluator 8	3.0				4.0	4.0		4.0		4.0								3.0	4.0	
	Total Average Score Criteria #1 - Total Points	2.1				4.0	4.1		4.3		4.1								3.3	3.8	
	(Total Possible Points = 100.0)	42.5				80.0	82.5		85.0		82.5								65.0	75.0	
	Criteria #2 - Consumption Models																				
	Evaluator 1	2.0				4.0			4.0		3.0								3.0		
-	Evaluator 2 Evaluator 3	1.0 2.0				4.0 3.0			3.0 4.0		3.0 3.0								3.0 2.0		
	Evaluator 4	3.0				5.0	3.0		4.0		3.0								3.0	3.0	
	Evaluator 5	3.0 3.0				4.0	4.0		4.0 4.0		4.0								4.0		
-	Evaluator 6 Evaluator 7	3.0				4.0	3.0		5.0		4.0 4.0								4.0 3.0	4.0	
	Evaluator 8	3.0 3.0				4.0	4.0		4.0		4.0								3.0	3.0	
	Total Average Score	2.5				4.0			4.0		3.5								3.1	3.4	
5.0	Criteria #2 - Total Points (Total Possible Points = 25.0)	10 -				00.0	10.0		22.5										45.5	40.5	
	Criteria #3 - Category Specific Security	12.5				20.0	16.9		20.0		17.5								15.6	16.9	
	Evaluator 1	3.0				4.0	4.0		4.0		4.0								4.0	4.0	

Exhibit 1 - Page 5 of 7 Stage 3 Category Qual

Weight Stage 3; Category Qualification Evaluation Vendor 2 AT&T ATOS Vendor 3 Cisco Cradity-joint Vendor 4 Packard 2 Contactor 2 Contactor 3 Contactor 4 Contactor 6 Contactor 6 Contactor 7 Conta	Vendor 15 Vendor 16 Vendor 18 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 2.0 2.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 3.3 33.8 32.5 32.5 4.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 3.0
Final Fina	3.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 2.0 2.0 4.0 4.0 3.4 3.3 33.8 32.5 4.0 4.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 3.0
Contented 3	3.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 2.0 2.0 4.0 4.0 3.4 3.3 33.8 32.5 4.0 4.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 3.0
Consister Cons	4.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 2.0 2.0 4.0 4.0 3.4 3.3 33.8 32.5 4.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 3.0
Evaluation of 3.0 4.0	3.0 4.0 2.0 2.0 4.0 4.0 3.4 3.3 33.8 32.5 4.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 3.0
Evaluation 7	2.0 2.0 4.0 4.0 3.4 3.3 33.8 32.5 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 3.0
Evaluator 8	4.0 4.0 3.4 3.3 3.8 32.5 4.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 2.0 4.0 3.0 3.5 3.4 35.0 33.8 3.0 2.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0
Criteria #3 - Total Points 22.5 40.0 (Total #4 - Open Standards and interoperability) 35.3 Evaluator 1 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 2 2.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 Evaluator 3 1.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 Evaluator 4 2.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 5 2.0 4.0 2.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 6 2.0 4.0 2.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 7 2.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 Evaluator 8 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.4 3.6 Criteria #4 - Total Points 3.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Total Average Score 2.1 3.3 3.3 3.4 3.6 Criteria #5 - Valuator 2 1.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 <	33.8 32.5 4.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 2.0 4.0 3.0 3.5 3.4 35.0 33.8 3.0 2.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0
100 (Total Possible Points = 50.0) 22.5 22.5 22.5 22.5 22.5 23.	4.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 3.0 2.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 3.5 3.4 35.0 33.8 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 3.0 3.0
Interoperability 3.0 4.0	3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 2.0 4.0 3.0 3.5 3.4 35.0 33.8 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 3.0
Systuation 1 3.0 4	3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 2.0 4.0 3.0 3.5 3.4 35.0 33.8 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 3.0
Evaluator 2 2 2.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3	3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 2.0 4.0 3.0 3.5 3.4 35.0 33.8 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 3.0
Evaluator 4 2.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 2.0 4.0 4.0 2.0 4.0 4.0 2.0 4.0 4.0 2.0 4.0 4.0 2.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 2.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4	4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 2.0 4.0 3.0 3.5 3.4 35.0 33.8 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 3.0
Evaluator 6	3.0 4.0 3.0 2.0 4.0 3.0 3.5 3.4 35.0 33.8 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 3.0 3.0
Evaluator 6 2.0 Evaluator 7 2.0 Evaluator 8 3.0 Evaluator 8 3.0 Total Average Score 2.1 Criteria #4 - Total Points 10. (Total Possible Points = 50.0) Evaluator 1 3.0 Evaluator 2 3.0 Evaluator 3 3.0 Evaluator 3 3.0 Criteria #5 - Value Added Services Evaluator 1 1.0 Evaluator 3 4.0 Evaluator 3 4.0 Evaluator 4 3.0 Evaluator 4 3.0 Evaluator 5 3.0 Evaluator 6 3.0 Evaluator 6 3.0 Evaluator 7 3.0 Evaluator 8 3.0 Evaluator 9 3.0	3.0 4.0 3.0 2.0 4.0 3.0 3.5 3.4 35.0 33.8 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 3.0 3.0
Evaluator 8 3.0 3.	3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0
Total Average Score 2.1 Criteria #4 - Total Points (Total Possible Points = 50.0) 21.3 3.2 5 32.5 33.8 36.3 Criteria #5 - Value Added Services Evaluator 1 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 2 1.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 3 2.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 4 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 5 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 6 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 7 2.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 8 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 Evaluator 9 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4	3.5 3.4 35.0 33.8 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 3.0
Criteria #4 - Total Points 10.0 (Total Possible Points = 50.0) 21.3 33.5 32.5 33.8 33.6 30.8 Evaluator 1 3.0 4.0 Evaluator 2 1.0 4.0 Evaluator 3 2.0 4.0 Evaluator 4 3.0 4.0 Evaluator 5 3.0 4.0 Evaluator 6 2.0 Evaluator 7 2.0 Evaluator 8 3.0 Total Average Score 2.4 Criteria #5 - Total Points	3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 3.0
Criteria #5 - Value Added Services Evaluator 1 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 2 1.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 3 2.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 4 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 5 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 6 2.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 7 2.0 4.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 Evaluator 8 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 Total Average Score 2.4 3.5 3.6 4.0 3.9 Criteria #5 - Total Points 4.0 3.9 3.9 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0	3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 3.0
Evaluator 1 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 2 1.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 3 2.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 4 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 5 3.0 4.0 3.0 5.0 4.0 Evaluator 6 2.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 7 2.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 Evaluator 8 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 3.9 Total Average Score 2.4 3.5 3.6 4.0 3.9 Criteria #5 - Total Points 3.0 3.0 4.0 3.9	3.0 2.0 3.0 3.0
Evaluator 2 1.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 3 2.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 4 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 5 3.0 4.0 5.0 4.0 Evaluator 6 2.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 7 2.0 4.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 Evaluator 8 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 3.9 4.0 3.9 Criteria #5 - Total Points 2.4 3.5 3.6 4.0 3.9	3.0 2.0 3.0 3.0
Evaluator 4 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 5 3.0 4.0 5.0 4.0 Evaluator 6 2.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 7 2.0 4.0 4.0 3.0 Evaluator 8 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 Total Average Score 2.4 3.5 3.6 4.0 3.9 Criteria #5 - Total Points 3.0 3.0 4.0 3.9	3.0 3.0
Evaluator 5 3.0 4.0 3.0 5.0 4.0 Evaluator 6 2.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 7 2.0 4.0 4.0 3.0 Evaluator 8 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 Total Average Score 2.4 3.5 3.6 4.0 3.9 Criteria #5 - Total Points 3.0 3.6 4.0 3.9	
Evaluator 7 2.0 Evaluator 8 3.0 Total Average Score 2.4 Criteria #5 - Total Points 3.6	3.0
Evaluator 8 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 Total Average Score 2.4 3.5 3.6 4.0 3.9 Criteria #5 - Total Points 4.0 3.9	4.0 3.0 3.0 1.0
Total Average Score 2.4 Criteria #5 - Total Points 3.5	3.0 1.0 3.0 2.0
	3.3 2.4
	16.3
Hewlett Vender 2 ATST ATOS Vender 3 Cisco Creditarsint Vender 6 Extreme Vender 7 Restord Juniper Vender 10 Vender 11 NEC Palo Alto Vender 12	W 1 12 W 1 12
Vendor 2 AT&T ATOS Vendor 3 Cisco Cradlepoint Vendor 6 Externe Vendor 7 Packard Sumper Vendor 9 Vendor 10 Vendor 11 NEC Paio Atto Vendor 13 Networks Enterprise	Vendor 15 Vendor 16 Vendor 18
Total Technical Points	
(Total Possible Points = 250.0)	405.0
Minimum Threshold = 175.0 190.0 188.8 195.0 193.1	165.6 170.0
Category 5: Facility Management, Monitoring, and Control	
Criteria #1 - Ability to Meet Requirements for	
Seclected Category Evaluator 1 4.0 4.0 4.0	4.0
Evaluator 2 4.0 4.0	3.0
Evaluator 3 4.0 Evaluator 4 3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0	4.0 3.0
Evaluator 5 4.0 5.0 4.0	4.0
Evaluator 6 3.0 4.0	2.0
Evaluator 7 2.0 4.0 2.0 Evaluator 8 4.0 4.0 2.0	2.0 3.0
Total Average Score 3.4 4.0 3.4	3.1
Criteria #1 - Total Points 20.0 (Total Possible Points = 100.0) 67.5	
20.0 (Total Possible Points = 100.0) 67.5 80.0 67.5 Criteria #2 - Consumption Models	62.5
Evaluator 1 4.0 4.0 4.0	4.0
Evaluator 2 3.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 3 3.0 3.0 4.0	3.0 3.0
Evaluator 4 3.0 5.0 4.0	3.0
Evaluator 5 4.0 4.0 4.0	4.0
Evaluator 6 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 7 2.0 4.0 3.0	4.0 3.0
Evaluator 8 4.0 4.0 4.0	4.0
Total Average Score 3.3 4.0 3.9 Criteria #2 - Total Points	3.5
5.0 (Total Possible Points = 25.0) 16.3 20.0 19.4	17.5
Criteria #3 - Category Specific Security	
Criteria #3 - Category Specific Security Evaluator 1 4.0 4.0	4.0
Criteria #3 - Category Specific Security 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 1 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 2 3.0 3.0 3.0 Evaluator 3 3.0 4.0 3.0	3.0 4.0
Criteria #3 - Category Specific Security 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 1 4.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 Evaluator 2 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 Evaluator 3 3.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 Evaluator 4 3.0 5.0 3.0	3.0 4.0 4.0
Criteria #3 - Category Specific Security Evaluator 1 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 2 3.0 3.0 3.0 Evaluator 3 3.0 4.0 3.0 Evaluator 4 3.0 5.0 3.0 Evaluator 5 3.0 5.0 4.0	3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0
Criteria #3 - Category Specific Security Evaluator 1 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 2 3.0 3.0 Evaluator 3 3.0 4.0 Evaluator 4 3.0 5.0 Evaluator 5 3.0 4.0 Evaluator 6 3.0 3.0 Evaluator 7 2.0 4.0	3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0
Criteria #3 - Category Specific Security Evaluator 1 4.0 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 2 3.0 3.0 3.0 Evaluator 3 3.0 4.0 3.0 Evaluator 4 3.0 5.0 3.0 Evaluator 5 3.0 5.0 4.0 Evaluator 6 3.0 3.0 4.0 Evaluator 7 2.0 4.0 2.0 Evaluator 8 3.0 4.0 3.0	3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0
Criteria #3 - Category Specific Security Evaluator 1 4.0 4.0 Evaluator 2 3.0 3.0 Evaluator 3 3.0 3.0 Evaluator 4 3.0 5.0 Evaluator 5 3.0 4.0 Evaluator 6 3.0 4.0 Evaluator 7 2.0 4.0	3.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0

Exhibit 1 - Page 6 of 7 Stage 3 Category Qual

Weight Stage 3: Category Qualification Evaluation	Vendor 2	AT&T	ATOS	Vendor 3	Cisco	Cradlepoint	Vendor 6	Extreme Networks	Vendor 7	Hewlett Packard Enterprise	Juniper Networks	Vendor 9	Vendor 10	Vendor 11	NEC	Palo Alto Networks	Vendor 13	Vendor 15	Vendor 16	Vendor 18
Criteria #4 - Open Standards and																				
Interoperability																				
Evaluator 1		4.0			4.0)	3.0													4.0
Evaluator 2		3.0			3.0)	3.0													3.0
Evaluator 3		3.0			3.0		3.0													3.0
Evaluator 4		3.0			3.0)	3.0													4.0
Evaluator 5		3.0			4.0)	4.0													3.0
Evaluator 6		3.0			3.0 2.0)	3.0													4.0
Evaluator 7		2.0			2.0)	2.0													3.0
Evaluator 8		4.0			4.0)	3.0													3.0
Total Average Score		3.1			3.3	3	3.0													3.4
Criteria #4 - Total Points																				
10.0 (Total Possible Points = 50.0)		31.3			32.5	5	30.0													33.8
Criteria #5 - Value Added Services																				
Evaluator 1		3.0			3.0)	4.0													3.0
Evaluator 2		3.0			3.0)	4.0													3.0
Evaluator 3		3.0			4.0)	4.0													3.0
Evaluator 4		3.0			4.0)	4.0													4.0
Evaluator 5		3.0			4.0)	3.0													4.0
Evaluator 6		2.0			3.0)	3.0													4.0
Evaluator 7		1.0			4.0)	3.0													3.0
Evaluator 8		3.0			3.0)	3.0													3.0
Total Average Score		2.6			3.5	5	3.5													3.4
Criteria #5 - Total Points																				
5.0 (Total Possible Points = 25.0)		13.1			17.5	5	17.5													16.9
,										Hewlett										
	Vendor 2	AT&T	ATOS	Vendor 3	Cisco	Cradlepoint	Vendor 6	Extreme Networks	Vendor 7	Packard Enterprise	Juniper Networks	Vendor 9	Vendor 10	Vendor 11	NEC	Palo Alto Networks	Vendor 13	Vendor 15	Vendor 16	Vendor 18
Total Technical Points (Total Possible Points = 250.0) Minimum Threshold = 175.0		158.1			190.0		166.9													169.4

Stage 3 Category Qual Exhibit 1 - Page 7 of 7
Page 7 of 7