Ip Multicast Optimization Features Bookmap Cisco IOS XE Release 3SG

Americas Headquarters
Cisco Systems, Inc.
170 West Tasman Drive
San Jose, CA 95134-1706
USA
http://www.cisco.com
Tel: 408 526-4000
800 553-NETS (6387)
Fax: 408 527-0883

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

IP Multicast Load Splitting across Equal-Cost Paths

This module describes how to load split IP multicast traffic from different sources, or from different sources and groups, over Equal Cost Multipath (ECMP) to take advantage of multiple paths through the network.

Finding Feature Information

Your software release may not support all the features documented in this module. For the latest caveats and feature information, see Bug Search Tool and the release notes for your platform and software release. To find information about the features documented in this module, and to see a list of the releases in which each feature is supported, see the feature information table at the end of this module.

Use Cisco Feature Navigator to find information about platform support and Cisco software image support. To access Cisco Feature Navigator, go to www.cisco.com/go/cfn. An account on Cisco.com is not required.

Prerequisites for IP Multicast Load Splitting across Equal-Cost Paths

IP multicast is enabled on the device using the tasks described in the "Configuring Basic IP Multicast" module of the *IP Multicast: PIM Configuration Guide*.

Information About IP Multicast Load Splitting across Equal-Cost Paths

Load Splitting Versus Load Balancing

Load splitting and load balancing are not the same. Load splitting provides a means to randomly distribute (*, G) and (S, G) traffic streams across multiple equal-cost reverse path forwarding (RPF) paths, which does not necessarily result in a balanced IP multicast traffic load on those equal-cost RPF paths. By randomly distributing (*, G) and (S, G) traffic streams, the methods used for load splitting IP multicast traffic attempt to distribute an equal amount of traffic flows on each of the available RPF paths not by counting the flows, but, rather, by making a pseudorandom decision. These methods are collectively referred to as equal-cost multipath (ECMP) multicast load splitting methods and result in better load-sharing in networks where there are many traffic streams that utilize approximately the same amount of bandwidth.

If there are just a few (S, G) or (*, G) states flowing across a set of equal-cost links, the chance that they are well balanced is quite low. To overcome this limitation, precalculated source addresses--for (S, G) states or rendezvous point (RP) addresses for (*, G) states, can be used to achieve a reasonable form of load balancing. This limitation applies equally to the per-flow load splitting in Cisco Express Forwarding (CEF) or with EtherChannels: As long as there are only a few flows, those methods of load splitting will not result in good load distribution without some form of manual engineering.

Default Behavior for IP Multicast When Multiple Equal-Cost Paths Exist

By default, for Protocol Independent Multicast sparse mode (PIM-SM), Source Specific Multicast (PIM-SSM), bidirectional PIM (bidir-PIM), and PIM dense mode (PIM-DM) groups, if multiple equal-cost paths are available, Reverse Path Forwarding (RPF) for IPv4 multicast traffic is based on the PIM neighbor with the highest IP address. This method is referred to as the highest PIM neighbor behavior. This behavior is in accordance with RFC 2362 for PIM-SM, but also applies to PIM-SSM, PIM-DM, and bidir-PIM.

The figure illustrates a sample topology that is used in this section to explain the default behavior for IP multicast when multiple equal-cost paths exist.

Figure 1: Default Behavior for IP Multicast When Multiple Equal-Cost Paths Exist



In the figure, two sources, S1 and S2, are sending traffic to IPv4 multicast groups, G1 and G2. Either PIM-SM, PIM-SSM, or PIM-DM can be used in this topology. If PIM-SM is used, assume that the default of 0 for the **ip pim spt-threshold** command is being used on Device 2, that an Interior Gateway Protocol (IGP) is being run, and that the output of the **show ip route** command for S1 and for S2 (when entered on Device 2) displays serial interface 0 and serial interface 1 on Device 1 as equal-cost next-hop PIM neighbors of Device 2.

Without further configuration, IPv4 multicast traffic in the topology illustrated in the figure would always flow across one serial interface (either serial interface 0 or serial interface 1), depending on which interface has the higher IP address. For example, suppose that the IP addresses configured on serial interface 0 and serial interface 1 on Device 1 are 10.1.1.1 and 10.1.2.1, respectively. Given that scenario, in the case of PIM-SM and PIM-SSM, Device 2 would always send PIM join messages towards 10.1.2.1 and would always receive IPv4 multicast traffic on serial interface 1 for all sources and groups shown in the figure. In the case of PIM-DM, Device 2 would always receive IP multicast traffic on serial Interface 1, only that in this case, PIM join messages are not used in PIM-DM; instead Device 2 would prune the IP multicast traffic across serial interface 0 and would receive it through serial interface 1 because that interface has the higher IP address on Device 1.

IPv4 RPF lookups are performed by intermediate multicast device to determine the RPF interface and RPF neighbor for IPv4 (*,G) and (S, G) multicast routes (trees). An RPF lookup consists of RPF route-selection and route-path-selection. RPF route-selection operates solely on the IP unicast address to identify the root of the multicast tree. For (*, G) routes (PIM-SM and Bidir-PIM), the root of the multicast tree is the RP address for the group G; for (S, G) trees (PIM-SM, PIM-SSM and PIM-DM), the root of the multicast tree is the source S. RPF route-selection finds the best route towards the RP or source in the routing information base (RIB), and, if configured (or available), the Distance Vector Multicast Routing Protocol (DVMRP) routing table, the Multiprotocol Border Gateway Protocol (MBGP) routing table or configured static mroutes. If the resulting route has only one available path, then the RPF lookup is complete, and the next-hop device and interface of the route become the RPF neighbor and RPF interface of this multicast tree. If the route has more than one path available, then route-path-selection is used to determine which path to choose.

For IP multicast, the following route-path-selection methods are available:

Note All methods but the default method of route-path-selection available in IP multicast enable some form of ECMP multicast load splitting.

- Highest PIM neighbor--This is the default method; thus, no configuration is required. If multiple equal-cost paths are available, RPF for IPv4 multicast traffic is based on the PIM neighbor with the highest IP address; as a result, without configuration, ECMP multicast load splitting is disabled by default.
- ECMP multicast load splitting method based on source address--You can configure ECMP multicast load splitting using the **ip multicast multipath** command. Entering this form of the **ip multicast multipath** command enables ECMP multicast load splitting based on source address using the S-hash algorithm. For more information, see the ECMP Multicast Load Splitting Based on Source Address Using the S-Hash Algorithm, on page 5 section.
- ECMP multicast load splitting method based on source and group address--You can configure ECMP multicast load splitting using the **ip multicast multipath** command with the **s-g-hash** and **basic** keywords. Entering this form of the **ip multicast multipath** command enables ECMP multicast load splitting based on source and group address using the basic S-G-hash algorithm. For more information, see the ECMP Multicast Load Splitting Based on Source and Group Address Using the Basic S-G-Hash Algorithm, on page 5 section.
- ECMP multicast load splitting method based on source, group, and next-hop address--You can configure ECMP multicast load splitting using the **ip multicast multipath** command with the **s-g-hash** and **next-hop-based** keywords. Entering this form of the command enables ECMP multicast load splitting based on source, group, and next-hop address using the next-hop-based S-G-hash algorithm. For more information, see the ECMP Multicast Load Splitting Based on Source Group and Next-Hop Address, on page 6 section.

The default behavior (the highest PIM neighbor behavior) does not result in any form of ECMP load-splitting in IP multicast, but instead selects the PIM neighbor that has the highest IP address among the next-hop PIM neighbors for the available paths. A next hop is considered to be a PIM neighbor when it displays in the output of the **show ip pim neighbor** command, which is the case when PIM hello messages have been received from it and have not timed out. If none of the available next hops are PIM neighbors, then simply the next hop with the highest IP address is chosen.

Methods to Load Split IP Multicast Traffic

In general, the following methods are available to load split IP multicast traffic:

- You can enable ECMP multicast load splitting based on source address, based on source and group address, or based on source, group, and next-hop address. After the equal-cost paths are recognized, ECMP multicast load splitting operates on a per (S, G) basis, rather than a per packet basis as in unicast traffic.
- Alternative methods to load split IP multicast are to consolidate two or more equal-cost paths into a generic routing encapsulation (GRE) tunnel and allow the unicast routing protocol to perform the load splitting, or to load split across bundle interfaces, such as Fast or Gigabit EtherChannel interfaces, Multilink PPP (MLPPP) link bundles, or Multilink Frame Relay (FR.16) link bundles.

Overview of ECMP Multicast Load Splitting

By default, ECMP multicast load splitting of IPv4 multicast traffic is disabled. ECMP multicast load splitting can be enabled using the **ip multicast multipath** command.

ECMP Multicast Load Splitting Based on Source Address Using the S-Hash Algorithm

ECMP multicast load splitting traffic based on source address uses the S-hash algorithm, enabling the RPF interface for each (*, G) or (S, G) state to be selected among the available equal-cost paths, depending on the RPF address to which the state resolves. For an (S, G) state, the RPF address is the source address of the state; for a (*, G) state, the RPF address is the address of the RP associated with the group address of the state.

When ECMP multicast load splitting based on source address is configured, multicast traffic for different states can be received across more than just one of the equal-cost interfaces. The method applied by IPv4 multicast is quite similar in principle to the default per-flow load splitting in IPv4 CEF or the load splitting used with Fast and Gigabit EtherChannels. This method of ECMP multicast load splitting, however, is subject to polarization.

ECMP Multicast Load Splitting Based on Source and Group Address Using the Basic S-G-Hash Algorithm

ECMP multicast load splitting based on source and group address uses a simple hash, referred to as the basic S-G-hash algorithm, which is based on source and group address. The basic S-G-hash algorithm is predictable because no randomization is used in coming up with the hash value. The S-G-hash mechanism, however, is subject to polarization because for a given source and group, the same hash is always picked irrespective of the device this hash is being calculated on.

Note The basic S-G-hash algorithm ignores bidir-PIM groups.

Predictability As a By-Product of Using the S-Hash and Basic S-G-Hash Algorithms

The method used by ECMP multicast load splitting in IPv4 multicast allows for consistent load splitting in a network where the same number of equal-cost paths are present in multiple places in a topology. If an RP address or source addresses are calculated once to have flows split across N paths, then they will be split across those N paths in the same way in all places in the topology. Consistent load splitting allows for predictability, which, in turn, enables load splitting of IPv4 multicast traffic to be manually engineered.

Polarization As a By-Product of Using the S-Hash and Basic S-G-Hash Algorithms

The hash mechanism used in IPv4 multicast to load split multicast traffic by source address or by source and group address is subject to a problem usually referred to as polarization. A by-product of ECMP multicast load splitting based on source address or on source and group address, polarization is a problem that prevents routers in some topologies from effectively utilizing all available paths for load splitting.

The figure illustrates a sample topology that is used in this section to explain the problem of polarization when configuring ECMP multicast load splitting based on source address or on source and group address.

Figure 2: Polarization Topology



In the topology illustrated in the figure, notice that Router 7 has two equal-cost paths towards the sources, S1 to S10, through Router 5 and Router 6. For this topology, suppose that ECMP multicast load splitting is enabled with the **ip multicast multipath** command on all routers in the topology. In that scenario, Router 7 would apply equal-cost load splitting to the 10 (S, G) states. The problem of polarization in this scenario would affect Router 7 because that router would end up choosing serial interface 0 on Router 5 for sources S1 to S5 and serial interface 1 on Router 6 for sources S6 to S10. The problem of polarization, furthermore, would also affect Router 5 and Router 6 in this topology. Router 5 has two equal-cost paths for S1 to S5 through serial interface 0 on Router 1 and serial interface 1 on Router 2. Because Router 5 would apply the same hash algorithm to select which of the two paths to use, it would end up using just one of these two upstream paths for sources S1 to S5; that is, either all the traffic would flow across Router 1 and Router 5 or across Router 2 and Router 5. It would be impossible in this topology to utilize Router 1 and Router 5 and Router 2 and Router 5 for load splitting. Likewise, the polarization problem would apply to Router 3 and Router 6 and Router 4 and Router 6; that is, it would be impossible in this topology to utilize both Router 3 and Router 6 and Router 4 and Router 6 for load splitting.

ECMP Multicast Load Splitting Based on Source Group and Next-Hop Address

Configuring ECMP multicast load splitting based on source, group, and next-hop address enables a more complex hash, the next-hop-based S-G-hash algorithm, which is based on source, group, and next-hop address. The next-hop-based S-G-hash algorithm is predictable because no randomization is used in calculating the hash value. Unlike the S-hash and basic S-G-hash algorithms, the hash mechanism used by the next-hop-based S-G-hash algorithm is not subject to polarization.

Note The next-hop-based S-G-hash algorithm in IPv4 multicast is the same algorithm used in IPv6 ECMP multicast load splitting, which, in turn, utilizes the same hash function used for PIM-SM bootstrap device (BSR).

The next-hop-based hash mechanism does not produce polarization and also maintains better RPF stability when paths fail. These benefits come at the cost that the source or RP IP addresses cannot be used to reliably

predict and engineer the outcome of load splitting when the next-hop-based S-G-hash algorithm is used. Because many customer networks have implemented equal-cost multipath topologies, the manual engineering of load splitting, thus, is not a requirement in many cases. Rather, it is more of a requirement that the default behavior of IP multicast be similar to IP unicast; that is, it is expected that IP multicast use multiple equal-cost paths on a best-effort basis. Load splitting for IPv4 multicast, therefore, could not be enabled by default because of the anomaly of polarization.

Note Load splitting for CEF unicast also uses a method that does not exhibit polarization and likewise cannot be used to predict the results of load splitting or engineer the outcome of load splitting.

The next-hop-based hash function avoids polarization because it introduces the actual next-hop IP address of PIM neighbors into the calculation, so the hash results are different for each device, and in effect, there is no problem of polarization. In addition to avoiding polarization, this hash mechanism also increases stability of the RPF paths chosen in the face of path failures. Consider a device with four equal-cost paths and a large number of states that are load split across these paths. Suppose that one of these paths fails, leaving only three available paths. With the hash mechanism used by the polarizing hash mechanisms (the hash mechanism used by the S-hash and basic S-G-hash algorithms), the RPF paths of all states would likely reconverge and thus change between those three paths, especially those paths that were already using one of those three paths. These states, therefore, may unnecessarily change their RPF interface and next-hop neighbor. This problem exists simply because the chosen path is determined by taking the total number of paths available into consideration by the algorithm, so once a path changes, the RPF selection for all states is subject to change too. For the next-hop-based hash mechanism, only the states that were using the changed path for RPF would need to reconverge onto one of the three remaining paths. The states that were already using one of those paths would not change. If the fourth path came back up, the states that initially used it would immediately reconverge back to that path without affecting the other states.

Note The next-hop-based S-G-hash algorithm ignores bidir-PIM groups.

Effect of ECMP Multicast Load Splitting on PIM Neighbor Query and Hello Messages for RPF Path Selection

If load splitting of IP multicast traffic over ECMP is *not* enabled and there are multiple equal-cost paths towards an RP or a source, IPv4 multicast will first elect the highest IP address PIM neighbor. A PIM neighbor is a device from which PIM hello (or PIMv1 query) messages are received. For example, consider a device that has two equal-cost paths learned by an IGP or configured through two static routes. The next hops of these two paths are 10.1.1.1 and 10.1.2.1. If both of these next-hop devices send PIM hello messages, then 10.1.2.1 would be selected as the highest IP address PIM neighbor. If only 10.1.1.1 sends PIM hello messages, then 10.1.1.1 would be selected. If neither of these devices sends PIM hello messages, then 10.1.2.1 would be selected. This deference to PIM hello messages allows the construction of certain types of dynamic failover scenarios with only static multicast routes (mroutes); it is otherwise not very useful.

Note For more information about configuring static mroutes, see the Configuring Multiple Static Mroutes in Cisco IOS configuration note on the Cisco IOS IP multicast FTP site, which is available at: ftp://ftpeng.cisco.com/ipmulticast /config-notes/static-mroutes.txt.

When load splitting of IP multicast traffic over ECMP is enabled, the presence of PIM hello message from neighbors is not considered; that is, the chosen RPF neighbor does not depend on whether or not PIM hello messages are received from that neighbor--it only depends on the presence or absence of an equal-cost route entry.

Effect of ECMP Multicast Loading Splitting on Assert Processing in PIM-DM and DF Election in Bidir-PIM

The **ip multicast multipath** command only changes the RPF selection on the downstream device; it does not have an effect on designated forwarder (DF) election in bidir-PIM or the assert processing on upstream devices in PIM-DM.

The figure illustrates a sample topology that is used in this section to explain the effect of ECMP multicast load splitting on assert processing in PIM-DM and DF election in bidir-PIM.

Figure 3: ECMP Multicast Load Splitting and Assert Processing in PIM-DM and DF Election in Bidir-PIM



In the figure, Device 2 has two equal-cost paths to S1 and S2 and the RP addresses on Device 1. Both paths are across Gigabit Ethernet interface 1/0/0: one path towards Device 3 and one path towards Device 4. For PIM-SM and PIM-SSM (*, G) and (S, G) RPF selection, there is no difference in the behavior of Device 2 in this topology versus Device 2 in the topology illustrated in the figure. There is, however, a difference when using PIM-DM or bidir-PIM.

If PIM-DM is used in the topology illustrated in the figure, Device 3 and Device 4 would start flooding traffic for the states onto Gigabit Ethernet interface 1/0/0 and would use the PIM assert process to elect one device among them to forward the traffic and to avoid traffic duplication. As both Device 3 and Device 4 would have the same route cost, the device with the higher IP address on Gigabit Ethernet interface 1/0/0 would always win the assert process. As a result, if PIM-DM is used in this topology, traffic would not be load split across Device 3 and Device 4.

If bidir-PIM is used in the topology illustrated in the figure, a process called DF election would take place between Device 2, Device 3, and Device 4 on Gigabit Ethernet interface 1/0/0. The process of DF election would elect one device for each RP to forward traffic across Gigabit Ethernet interface 1/0/0 for any groups using that particular RP, based on the device with the highest IP address configured for that interface. Even if multiple RPs are used (for example one for G1 and another one for G2), the DF election for those RPs would always be won by the device that has the higher IP address configured on Gigabit Ethernet interface 1/0/0 (either Device 3 or Device 4 in this topology). The election rules used for DF election are virtually the same as the election rules used for the PIM assert process, only the protocol mechanisms to negotiate them are more refined for DF election (in order to return the results more expediently). As a result, when bidir-PIM is used in this topology, load splitting would always occur across Gigabit Ethernet interface 1/0/0.

The reason that ECMP multicast load splitting does influence the RPF selection but not the assert process in PIM-DM or DF election in bidir-PIM is because both the assert process and DF election are cooperative processes that need to be implemented consistently between participating devices. Changing them would require some form of protocol change that would also need to be agreed upon by the participating devices. RPF selection is a purely device local policy and, thus, can be enabled or disabled without protocol changes individually on each device.

For PIM-DM and bidir-PIM, configuring ECMP multicast load splitting with the **ip multicast multipath** command is only effective in topologies where the equal-cost paths are not upstream PIM neighbors on the same LAN, but rather neighbors on different LANs or point-to-point links.

Effect of ECMP Multicast Load Splitting on the PIM Assert Process in PIM-SM and PIM-SSM

There are also cases where ECMP multicast load splitting with the **ip multicast multipath** command can become ineffective due to the PIM assert process taking over, even when using PIM-SM with (*, G) or (S, G) forwarding or PIM-SSM with (S, G) forwarding.

The figure illustrates a sample topology that is used in this section to explain the effect of ECMP multicast load splitting on the PIM assert process in PIM-SM and PIM-SSM.

Figure 4: ECMP Multicast Load Splitting and the PIM Assert Process in PIM-SM and PIM-SSM



In the topology illustrated in the figure, if both Device 2 and Device 5 are Cisco devices and are consistently configured for ECMP multicast load splitting with the **ip multicast multipath** command, then load splitting would continue to work as expected; that is, both devices would have Device 3 and Device 4 as equal-cost

next hops and would sort the list of equal-cost paths in the same way (by IP address). When applying the multipath hash function, for each (S, G) or (*, G) state, they would choose the same RPF neighbor (either Device 3 or Device 4) and send their PIM joins to this neighbor.

If Device 5 and Device 2 are inconsistently configured with the **ip multicast multipath** command, or if Device 5 is a third-party device, then Device 2 and Device 5 may choose different RPF neighbors for some (*, G) or (S, G) states. For example Device 2 could choose Device 3 for a particular (S, G) state or Device 5 could choose Device 4 for a particular (S, G) state. In this scenario, Device 3 and Device 4 would both start to forward traffic for that state onto Gigabit Ethernet interface 1/0/0, see each other's forwarded traffic, and--to avoid traffic duplication--start the assert process. As a result, for that (S, G) state, the device with the higher IP address for Gigabit Ethernet interface 1/0/0 would forward the traffic. However, both Device 2 and Device 5 would be tracking the winner of the assert election and would send their PIM joins for that state to this assert winner, even if this assert winner is not the same device as the one that they calculated in their RPF selection. For PIM-SM and PIM-SSM, therefore, the operation of ECMP multicast load splitting can only be guaranteed when all downstream devices on a LAN are consistently configured Cisco devices.

ECMP Multicast Load Splitting and Reconvergence When Unicast Routing Changes

When unicast routing changes, all IP multicast routing states reconverge immediately based on the available unicast routing information. Specifically, if one path goes down, the remaining paths reconverge immediately, and if the path comes up again, multicast forwarding will subsequently reconverge to the same RPF paths that were used before the path failed. Reconvergence occurs whether load splitting of IP multicast traffic over ECMP is configured or not.

Use of BGP with ECMP Multicast Load Splitting

ECMP multicast load splitting works with RPF information learned through BGP in the same way as with RPF information learned from other protocols: It chooses one path out of the multiple paths installed by the protocol. The main difference with BGP is that it only installs a single path, by default. For example, when a BGP speaker learns two identical external BGP (eBGP) paths for a prefix, it will choose the path with the lowest device ID as the best path. The best path is then installed in the IP routing table. If BGP multipath support is enabled and the eBGP paths are learned from the same neighboring AS, instead of picking the single best path, BGP installs multiple paths in the IP routing table. By default, BGP will install only one path to the IP routing table.

To leverage ECMP multicast load splitting for BGP learned prefixes, you must enable BGP multipath. Once configured, when BGP installs the remote next-hop information, RPF lookups will execute recursively to find the best next hop towards that BGP next hop (as in unicast). If for example there is only a single BGP path for a given prefix, but there are two IGP paths to reach that BGP next hop, then multicast RPF will correctly load split between the two different IGP paths.

Use of ECMP Multicast Load Splitting with Static Mroutes

If it is not possible to use an IGP to install equal cost routes for certain sources or RPs, static routes can be configured to specify the equal-cost paths for load splitting. You cannot use static mroutes to configure equal-cost paths because the software does not support the configuration of one static mroute per prefix. There

are some workarounds for this limitation using recursive route lookups but the workarounds cannot be applied to equal-cost multipath routing.

Note For more information about configuring static mroutes, see the Configuring Multiple Static Mroutes in Cisco IOS configuration note on the Cisco IOS IP multicast FTP site at ftp://ftpeng.cisco.com/ipmulticast/config-notes/static-mroutes.txt.

You can specify only static mroutes for equal-cost multipaths in IPv4 multicast; however, those static mroutes would only apply to multicast, or you can specify that the equal-cost multipaths apply to both unicast and multicast routing. In IPv6 multicast, there is no such restriction. Equal-cost multipath mroutes can be configured for static IPv6 mroutes that apply to only unicast routing, only multicast routing, or both unicast and multicast routing.

Alternative Methods of Load Splitting IP Multicast Traffic

Load splitting of IP multicast traffic can also be achieved by consolidating multiple parallel links into a single tunnel over which the multicast traffic is then routed. This method of load splitting is more complex to configure than ECMP multicast load splitting. One such case where configuring load splitting across equal-cost paths using GRE links can be beneficial is the case where the total number of (S, G) or (*, G) states is so small and the bandwidth carried by each state so variable that even the manual engineering of the source or RP addresses cannot guarantee the appropriate load splitting of the traffic.

Note With the availability of ECMP multicast load splitting, tunnels typically only need to be used if per-packet load sharing is required.

IP multicast traffic can also be used to load split across bundle interfaces, such as Fast or Gigabit EtherChannel interfaces, MLPPP link bundles or Multilink Frame Relay (FRF.16) bundles. GRE or other type of tunnels can also constitute such forms of Layer 2 link bundles. Before using such an Layer 2 mechanism, it is necessary to understand how unicast and multicast traffic is load split.

Before load splitting IP multicast traffic across equal-cost paths over a tunnel, you must configure CEF per-packet load balancing or else the GRE packets will not be load balanced per packet.

How to Load Split IP Multicast Traffic over ECMP

Enabling ECMP Multicast Load Splitting

Perform the following tasks to load split IP multicast traffic across multiple equal-cost paths, based on source address.

If two or more equal-cost paths from a source are available, unicast traffic will be load split across those paths. However, by default, multicast traffic is not load split across multiple equal-cost paths. In general, multicast traffic flows down from the RPF neighbor. According to PIM specifications, this neighbor must have the highest IP address if more than one neighbor has the same metric.

Configuring load splitting with the **ip multicast multipath** command causes the system to load split multicast traffic across multiple equal-cost paths based on source address using the S-hash algorithm. When the **ip multicast multipath** command is configured and multiple equal-cost paths exist, the path in which multicast traffic will travel is selected based on the source IP address. Multicast traffic from different sources will be load split across the different equal-cost paths. Load splitting will not occur across equal-cost paths for multicast traffic from the same source sent to different multicast groups.

Note The **ip multicast multipath**command load splits the traffic and does not load balance the traffic. Traffic from a source will use only one path, even if the traffic far outweighs traffic from other sources.

Prerequisites for IP Multicast Load Splitting - ECMP

- You must have an adequate number of sources (at least more than two sources) to enable ECMP multicast load splitting based on source address.
- You must have multiple paths available to the RP to configure ECMP multicast load splitting.

  Note Use the **show ip route** command with either the IP address of the source for the *ip-address*argument or the IP address of the RP to validate that there are multiple paths available to the source or RP, respectively. If you do not see multiple paths in the output of the command, you will not be able to configure ECMP multicast load splitting.
- When using PIM-SM with shortest path tree (SPT) forwarding, the T-bit mus be set for the forwarding of all (S, G) states.
- Before configuring ECMP multicast load splitting, it is best practice to use the **show ip rpf** command to validate whether sources can take advantage of IP multicast multipath capabilities.
- BGP does not install multiple equal-cost paths by default. Use the **maximum-paths** command to configure multipath (for example in BGP). For more information, see the Use of BGP with ECMP Multicast Load Splitting, on page 10 section.

Restrictions

- If two or more equal-cost paths from a source are available, unicast traffic will be load split across those paths. However, by default, multicast traffic is not load split across multiple equal-cost paths. In general, multicast traffic flows down from the RPF neighbor. According to PIM specifications, this neighbor must have the highest IP address if more than one neighbor has the same metric.
- The **ip multicast multipath** command does not support configurations in which the same PIM neighbor IP address is reachable through multiple equal-cost paths. This situation typically occurs if unnumbered interfaces are used. Use different IP addresses for all interfaces when configuring the **ip multicast multipath** command.
- The **ip multicast multipath** command load splits the traffic and does not load balance the traffic. Traffic from a source will use only one path, even if the traffic far outweighs traffic from other sources.

Enabling ECMP Multicast Load Splitting Based on Source Address

Perform this task to enable ECMP multicast load splitting of multicast traffic based on source address (using the S-hash algorithm) to take advantage of multiple paths through the network. The S-hash algorithm is predictable because no randomization is used in calculating the hash value. The S-hash algorithm, however, is subject to polarization because for a given source, the same hash is always picked irrespective of the device on which the hash is being calculated.

Note Enable ECMP multicast load splitting on the device that is to be the receiver for traffic from more than one incoming interfaces, which is opposite to unicast routing. From the perspective of unicast, multicast is active on the sending device connecting to more than one outgoing interfaces.

Before You Begin

- You must have an adequate number of sources (at least more than two sources) to enable ECMP multicast load splitting based on source address.
- You must have multiple paths available to the RP to configure ECMP multicast load splitting.

  Note Use the **show ip route** command with either the IP address of the source for the *ip-address*argument or the IP address of the RP to validate that there are multiple paths available to the source or RP, respectively. If you do not see multiple paths in the output of the command, you will not be able to configure ECMP multicast load splitting.

- When using PIM-SM with shortest path tree (SPT) forwarding, the T-bit mus be set for the forwarding of all (S, G) states.
- Before configuring ECMP multicast load splitting, it is best practice to use the **show ip rpf** command to validate whether sources can take advantage of IP multicast multipath capabilities.
- BGP does not install multiple equal-cost paths by default. Use the **maximum-paths** command to configure multipath (for example in BGP). For more information, see the Use of BGP with ECMP Multicast Load Splitting, on page 10 section.

SUMMARY STEPS

1. **enable**
2. **configure terminal**
3. **ip multicast multipath**
4. Repeat step 3 on all the devices in a redundant topology.
5. **exit**
6. **show ip rpf** *source-address* [*group-address*]
7. **show ip route** *ip-address*

DETAILED STEPS

	Command or Action	Purpose
Step 1	**enable** **Example:** `Device> enable`	Enables privileged EXEC mode. • Enter your password if prompted.
Step 2	**configure terminal** **Example:** `Device# configure terminal`	Enters global configuration mode.
Step 3	**ip multicast multipath** **Example:** `Device(config)# ip multicast multipath`	Enables ECMP multicast load splitting based on source address using the S-hash algorithm. • Because this command changes the way an RPF neighbor is selected, it must be configured consistently on all devices in a redundant topology to avoid looping. • This command does not support configurations in which the same PIM neighbor IP address is reachable through multiple equal-cost paths. This situation typically occurs if unnumbered interfaces are used. Use a different IP address for each interface in a device on which this command is to be configured. • This command load splits the traffic and does not load balance the traffic. Traffic from a source will use only one path, even if the traffic far outweighs traffic from other sources.
Step 4	Repeat step 3 on all the devices in a redundant topology.	--
Step 5	**exit** **Example:** `Device(config)# exit`	Exits global configuration mode and returns to privileged EXEC mode.
Step 6	**show ip rpf** *source-address* [*group-address*] **Example:** `Device# show ip rpf 10.1.1.2`	(Optional) Displays the information that IP multicast routing uses to perform the RPF check. • Use this command to verify RPF selection so as to ensure that IP multicast traffic is being properly load split.
Step 7	**show ip route** *ip-address* **Example:** `Device# show ip route 10.1.1.2`	(Optional) Displays the current state of the IP routing table. • Use this command to verify that there multiple paths available to a source or RP for ECMP multicast load splitting.

	Command or Action	Purpose
		• For the *ip-address* argument, enter the IP address of a source to validate that there are multiple paths available to the source (for shortest path trees) or the IP address of an RP to validate that there are multiple paths available to the RP (for shared trees).

Enabling ECMP Multicast Load Splitting Based on Source and Group Address

Perform this task to enable ECMP multicast load splitting of multicast traffic based on source and group address (using the basic S-G-hash algorithm) to take advantage of multiple paths through the network. The basic S-G-hash algorithm is predictable because no randomization is used in calculating the hash value.The basic S-G-hash algorithm, however, is subject to polarization because for a given source and group, the same hash is always picked irrespective of the device on which the hash is being calculated.

The basic S-G-hash algorithm provides more flexible support for ECMP multicast load splitting than the the S-hash algorithm. Using the basic S-G-hash algorithm for load splitting, in particular, enables multicast traffic from devices that send many streams to groups or that broadcast many channels, such as IPTV servers or MPEG video servers, to be more effectively load split across equal-cost paths.

Note Enable ECMP multicast load splitting on the device that is to be the receiver for traffic from more than one incoming interfaces, which is opposite to unicast routing. From the perspective of unicast, multicast is active on the sending device connecting to more than one outgoing interfaces.

SUMMARY STEPS

1. **enable**
2. **configure terminal**
3. **ip multicast multipath s-g-hash basic**
4. Repeat Step 3 on all the devices in a redundant topology.
5. **exit**
6. **show ip rpf** *source-address* [*group-address*]
7. **show ip route** *ip-address*

DETAILED STEPS

	Command or Action	Purpose
Step 1	**enable** **Example:** `Device> enable`	Enables privileged EXEC mode. • Enter your password if prompted.

	Command or Action	Purpose
Step 2	**configure terminal** **Example:** `Device# configure terminal`	Enters global configuration mode.
Step 3	**ip multicast multipath s-g-hash basic** **Example:** `Device(config)# ip multicast multipath s-g-hash basic`	Enables ECMP multicast load splitting based on source and group address using the basic S-G-hash algorithm. • Because this command changes the way an RPF neighbor is selected, it must be configured consistently on all devices in a redundant topology to avoid looping.
Step 4	Repeat Step 3 on all the devices in a redundant topology.	--
Step 5	**exit** **Example:** `Device(config)# exit`	Exits global configuration mode and returns to privileged EXEC mode.
Step 6	**show ip rpf** *source-address* [*group-address*] **Example:** `Device# show ip rpf 10.1.1.2`	(Optional) Displays the information that IP multicast routing uses to perform the RPF check. • Use this command to verify RPF selection so as to ensure that IP multicast traffic is being properly load split.
Step 7	**show ip route** *ip-address* **Example:** `Device# show ip route 10.1.1.2`	(Optional) Displays the current state of the IP routing table. • Use this command to verify that there multiple paths available to a source or RP for ECMP multicast load splitting. • For the *ip-address* argument, enter the IP address of a source to validate that there are multiple paths available to the source (for shortest path trees) or the IP address of an RP to validate that there are multiple paths available to the RP (for shared trees).

Enabling ECMP Multicast Load Splitting Based on Source Group and Next-Hop Address

Perform this task to enable ECMP multicast load splitting of multicast traffic based on source, group, and next-hop address (using the next-hop-based S-G-hash algorithm) to take advantage of multiple paths through the network. The next-hop-based S-G-hash algorithm is predictable because no randomization is used in calculating the hash value. Unlike the S-hash and basic S-G-hash algorithms, the hash mechanism used by the next-hop-based S-G-hash algorithm is not subject to polarization.

The next-hop-based S-G-hash algorithm provides more flexible support for ECMP multicast load splitting than S-hash algorithm and eliminates the polarization problem. Using the next-hop-based S-G-hash algorithm for ECMP multicast load splitting enables multicast traffic from devices that send many streams to groups or that broadcast many channels, such as IPTV servers or MPEG video servers, to be more effectively load split across equal-cost paths.

SUMMARY STEPS

1. **enable**
2. **configure terminal**
3. **ip multicast multipath s-g-hash next-hop-based**
4. Repeat Steps 1 through 3 on all the routers in a redundant topology.
5. **end**
6. **show ip rpf** *source-address* [*group-address*]
7. **show ip route** *ip-address*

DETAILED STEPS

	Command or Action	Purpose
Step 1	**enable** **Example:** `Router> enable`	Enables privileged EXEC mode. • Enter your password if prompted.
Step 2	**configure terminal** **Example:** `Router# configure terminal`	Enters global configuration mode.
Step 3	**ip multicast multipath s-g-hash next-hop-based** **Example:** `Router(config)# ip multicast multipath s-g-hash next-hop-based`	Enables ECMP multicast load splitting based on source, group, and next-hop-address using the next-hop-based S-G-hash algorithm. • Because this command changes the way an RPF neighbor is selected, it must be configured consistently on all routers in a redundant topology to avoid looping. **Note** Be sure to enable the **ip multicast multipath** command on the router that is supposed to be the receiver for traffic from more than one incoming interfaces, which is opposite to unicast routing. From the perspective of unicast, multicast is active on the sending router connecting to more than one outgoing interfaces.
Step 4	Repeat Steps 1 through 3 on all the routers in a redundant topology.	--
Step 5	**end**	Exits global configuration mode and returns to privileged EXEC mode.

	Command or Action	Purpose
	Example: `Router(config)# end`	
Step 6	**show ip rpf** *source-address* [*group-address*] **Example:** `Router# show ip rpf 10.1.1.2`	(Optional) Displays the information that IP multicast routing uses to perform the RPF check. • Use this command to verify RPF selection so as to ensure that IP multicast traffic is being properly load split.
Step 7	**show ip route** *ip-address* **Example:** `Router# show ip route 10.1.1.2`	(Optional) Displays the current state of the IP routing table. • Use this command to verify that there multiple paths available to a source or RP for ECMP multicast load splitting. • For the *ip-address* argument, enter the IP address of a source to validate that there are multiple paths available to the source (for shortest path trees) or the IP address of an RP to validate that there are multiple paths available to the RP (for shared trees).

Configuration Examples for Load Splitting IP Multicast Traffic over ECMP

Example Enabling ECMP Multicast Load Splitting Based on Source Address

The following example shows how to enable ECMP multicast load splitting on a router based on source address using the S-hash algorithm:

```
ip multicast multipath
```

Example Enabling ECMP Multicast Load Splitting Based on Source and Group Address

The following example shows how to enable ECMP multicast load splitting on a router based on source and group address using the basic S-G-hash algorithm:

```
ip multicast multipath s-g-hash basic
```

Example Enabling ECMP Multicast Load Splitting Based on Source Group and Next-Hop Address

The following example shows how to enable ECMP multicast load splitting on a router based on source, group, and next-hop address using the next-hop-based S-G-hash algorithm:

```
ip multicast multipath s-g-hash next-hop-based
```

Additional References

Related Documents

Related Topic	Document Title
Cisco IOS commands	Cisco IOS Master Commands List, All Releases
Cisco IOS IP Multicast commands	Cisco IOS IP Multicast Command Reference

Standards and RFCs

Standard/RFC	Title
RFC 4601	Protocol Independent Multicast-Sparse Mode (PIM-SM): Protocol Specification

MIBs

MIB	MIBs Link
No new or modified MIBs are supported by this feature, and support for existing standards has not been modified by this feature.	To locate and download MIBs for selected platforms, Cisco IOS XE releases, and feature sets, use Cisco MIB Locator found at the following URL: http://www.cisco.com/go/mibs

Technical Assistance

Description	Link
The Cisco Support and Documentation website provides online resources to download documentation, software, and tools. Use these resources to install and configure the software and to troubleshoot and resolve technical issues with Cisco products and technologies. Access to most tools on the Cisco Support and Documentation website requires a Cisco.com user ID and password.	http://www.cisco.com/cisco/web/support/index.html

Feature Information for Load Splitting IP Multicast Traffic over ECMP

The following table provides release information about the feature or features described in this module. This table lists only the software release that introduced support for a given feature in a given software release train. Unless noted otherwise, subsequent releases of that software release train also support that feature.

Use Cisco Feature Navigator to find information about platform support and Cisco software image support. To access Cisco Feature Navigator, go to www.cisco.com/go/cfn. An account on Cisco.com is not required.

Table 1: Feature Information for Load Splitting IP Multicast Traffic over ECMP

Feature Name	Releases	Feature Information
IP Multicast Load Splitting across Equal-Cost Paths	--	The IP Multicast Load Splitting Across Equal Paths feature enables load splitting of IP multicast traffic across equal-cost paths. Prior to this feature, when there were equal-cost paths between routers, IP multicast packets traversed only one path. If a tunnel was configured, the same next hop was always used, and no load splitting occurred. The following commands were introduced or modified: **ip multicast multipath**.

Feature Name	Releases	Feature Information
IP Multicast Load Splitting--Equal Cost Multipath (ECMP) Using S, G and Next Hop	12.2(33)SRB 15.0(1)M 15.0(1)S	The IP Multicast Load Splitting--Equal Cost Multipath (ECMP) Using S, G and Next Hop feature introduces more flexible support for ECMP multicast load splitting by adding support for load splitting based on source and group address and on source, group, and next-hop address. This feature enables multicast traffic from devices that send many streams to groups or that broadcast many channels, such as IPTV servers or MPEG video servers, to be more effectively load split across equal-cost paths. Prior to the introduction of this feature, the Cisco IOS software only supported ECMP multicast load splitting based on source address, which restricted multicast traffic sent by a single source to multiple groups from being load split across equal-cost paths. The following commands were introduced or modified: **ip multicast multipath**.

CHAPTER 2

Per Interface Mroute State Limit

The Per Interface Mroute State Limit feature provides the capability to limit the number of mroute states on an interface for different ACL-classified sets of multicast traffic. This feature can be used to prevent DoS attacks or to provide a multicast CAC mechanism when all the multicast flows roughly utilize the same amount of bandwidth.

The Per Interface Mroute State Limit feature essentially is a complete superset of the IGMP State Limit feature (with the exception that it does not support a global limit). The Per Interface Mroute State Limit feature, moreover, is more flexible and powerful (albeit more complex) than the IGMP State Limit feature but is not intended to be a replacement for it because there are applications that suit both features.

The main differences between the Per Interface Mroute State Limit feature and the IGMP State Limit feature are as follows:

- The Per Interface Mroute State Limit feature allows multiple limits to be configured on an interface, whereas the IGMP State Limit feature allows only one limit to be configured on an interface. The Per Interface Mroute State Limit feature, thus, is more flexible than the IGMP State Limit feature in that it allows multiple limits to be configured for different sets of multicast traffic on an interface.
- The Per Interface Mroute State Limit feature can be used to limit both IGMP and PIM joins, whereas the IGMP State Limit feature can only be used to limit IGMP joins. The IGMP State Limit feature, thus, is more limited in application in that it is best suited to be configured on an edge router to limit the number of groups that receivers can join on an outgoing interface. The Per Interface Mroute State Limit feature has a wider application in that it can be configured to limit IGMP joins on an outgoing interface, to limit PIM joins (for Any Source Multicast [ASM] groups or Source Specific Multicast [SSM] channels) on an outgoing interface connected to other routers, to limit sources behind an incoming interface from sending multicast traffic, or to limit sources directly connected to an incoming interface from sending multicast traffic.

Note Although the PIM Interface Mroute State Limit feature allows you to limit both IGMP and PIM joins, it does not provide the capability to limit PIM or IGMP joins separately because it does not take into account whether the state is created as a result of an IGMP or PIM join. As such, the IGMP State Limit feature is more specific in application because it specifically limits IGMP joins.

- The Per Interface Mroute State Limit feature allows you to specify limits according to the direction of traffic; that is, it allows you to specify limits for outgoing interfaces, incoming interfaces, and for incoming interfaces having directly connected multicast sources. The IGMP State Limit feature,

however, only can be used to limit outgoing interfaces. The Per Interface State Mroute State Limit feature, thus, is wider in scope in that it can be used to limit mroute states for both incoming and outgoing interfaces from both sources and receivers, whereas the IGMP State Limit feature is more narrow in scope in that it can only be used to limit mroute states for receivers on an LAN by limiting the number of IGMP joins on an outgoing interface.

Both the IGMP State Limit and Per Interface Mroute State Limit features provide a rudimentary multicast CAC mechanism that can be used to provision bandwidth utilization on an interface when all multicast flows roughly utilize the same amount of bandwidth. The Bandwidth-Based CAC for IP Multicast feature, however, offers a more flexible and powerful alternative for providing multicast CAC in network environments where IP multicast flows utilize different amounts of bandwidth.

Note For more information about the Bandwidth-Based CAC for IP Multicast feature, see the Bandwidth-Based CAC for IP Multicast, on page 36.

Finding Feature Information

Your software release may not support all the features documented in this module. For the latest caveats and feature information, see Bug Search Tool and the release notes for your platform and software release. To find information about the features documented in this module, and to see a list of the releases in which each feature is supported, see the feature information table at the end of this module.

Use Cisco Feature Navigator to find information about platform support and Cisco software image support. To access Cisco Feature Navigator, go to www.cisco.com/go/cfn. An account on Cisco.com is not required.

Prerequisites for Per Interface Mroute State Limit

IP multicast is enabled and the Protocol Independent Multicast (PIM) interfaces are configured using the tasks described in the "Configuring Basic IP Multicast" module of the *IP Multicast: PIM Configuration Guide*.

Information about Per Interface Mroute State Limit

Mechanics of Per Interface Mroute State Limiters

The mechanics of per interface mroute state limiters are as follows:

- Each time the state for an mroute is created or deleted and each time an olist member is added or removed, the software searches for a corresponding per interface mroute state limiter that matches the mroute.
- When an mroute is created or deleted, the software searches for a per interface mroute state limiter configured on the incoming (RPF) interface that matches the mroute to be created or deleted. When an olist member is added or removed, the software searches for a per interface mroute state limiter configured on the outgoing interface that matches the mroute to be added or removed.
- A top-down search is performed using the list of configured per interface mroute state limiters. Only per interface mroute state limiters that match the direction of traffic are considered. The first per interface mroute state limiter that matches is used for limiting (sometimes referred to as accounting). A match is found when the ACL permits the mroute state.
- When a match is found, the counter of the per interface mroute state limiter is updated (increased or decreased). If no per interface mroute state limiter is found that matches an mroute, no accounting is performed for the mroute (because there is no counter to update).
- The amount with which to update the counter is called the cost (sometimes referred to as the cost multiplier). The default cost is 1.

Note A per interface mroute state limiter always allows the deletion of an mroute or the removal of an interface from the olist. In those cases, the respective per interface mroute state limiter decreases the counter by the value of the cost multiplier. In addition, RPF changes to an existing mroute are always allowed (in order to not affect existing traffic). However, a per interface mroute state limiter only allows the creation of an mroute or the addition of an mroute olist member if adding the cost does not exceed the maximum number of mroutes permitted.

Tips for Configuring Per Interface Mroute State Limiters

- To ensure that all mroutes are accounted, you can configure a per interface mroute state limiter whose ACL contains a permit-any statement and set the value of zero (0) for maximum entries. Configuring an mroute state limiter in this manner effectively denies all fall through states, which may be a way to prevent a multicast DoS attack in and out of the interface.
- When creating an ACL, remember that, by default, the end of the ACL contains an implicit deny-any statement for everything if it did not find a match before reaching the end.
- An explicit deny statement for a specific mroute in an ACL can be used to specify the state that will not match the ACLwhich will prevent the ACL from being accounted. If an mroute matches a deny statement, the search immediately continues to the next configured mroute state limiter. Configuring an explicit

deny statement in an ACL can be more efficient than forcing the mroute to fall through an ACL by using an implicit deny-any statement at the end of the ACL.

How to Configure Per Interface Mroute State Limit

Configuring Per Interface Mroute State Limiters

Perform this task to prevent DoS attacks or to provide a multicast CAC mechanism for controling bandwidth when all multicast flows utilize approximately the same amount of bandwidth.

Before You Begin

All ACLs to be applied to per interface mroute state limiters must be configured prior to beginning this configuration task; otherwise, the limiters are ignored. For information about how to configure ACLs, see the " Creating an IP Access List and Applying It to an Interface " module of the *Security Configuration Guide: Access Control Lists* guide.

SUMMARY STEPS

1. **enable**
2. **configure terminal**
3. **interface** *type number*
4. **ip multicast limit** [**connected** | **out** | **rpf**] *access-list max-entries*
5. Repeat Step 4 to configure additional per interface mroute state limiters on this interface.
6. Repeat Steps 3 and Step 4 to configure per interface mroute state limiters on additional interfaces.
7. **end**

DETAILED STEPS

	Command or Action	Purpose
Step 1	**enable** **Example:** `Device> enable`	Enables privileged EXEC mode. • Enter your password if prompted.
Step 2	**configure terminal** **Example:** `Device# configure terminal`	Enters global configuration mode.

	Command or Action	Purpose
Step 3	**interface** *type number* **Example:** `Device(config)# interface GigabitEthernet0/0`	Enters interface configuration mode for the specified interface type and number.
Step 4	**ip multicast limit** [**connected** | **out** | **rpf**] *access-list max-entries* **Example:** `Device(config-if)# ip multicast limit 15 100`	Configures per interface mroute state limiters.
Step 5	Repeat Step 4 to configure additional per interface mroute state limiters on this interface.	--
Step 6	Repeat Steps 3 and Step 4 to configure per interface mroute state limiters on additional interfaces.	--
Step 7	**end** **Example:** `Device(config-if)# end`	Returns to privileged EXEC mode.

Monitoring Per Interface Mroute State Limiters and Bandwidth-Based Multicast CAC Policies

Perform this optional task to monitor per interface mroute state limiters and bandwidth-based multicast CAC policies.

SUMMARY STEPS

1. **enable**
2. **debug ip mrouting limits** [*group-address*]
3. **show ip multicast limit** *type number*
4. **clear ip multicast limit** [*type number*]

DETAILED STEPS

Step 1 **enable**

Example:

```
Device> enable
```

Enables privileged EXEC mode.

- Enter your password if prompted.

Step 2 **debug ip mrouting limits** [*group-address*]
Displays debugging information about configured per interface mroute state limiters and bandwidth-based multicast CAC policies.

The following output is from the **debug ip mrouting limits** command. The output displays the following events:

- An mroute state being created and the corresponding per interface mroute state limiter counter being increased by the default cost of 1 on incoming Ethernet interface 1/0.
- An mroute olist member being removed from the olist and the corresponding per interface mroute limiter being decreased by the default cost of 1 on outgoing Ethernet interface 1/0.
- An mroute being denied by the per interface mroute state limiter because the maximum number of mroute states has been reached.
- An mroute state being created and the corresponding per interface mroute state limiter counter being increased by the cost of 2 on incoming Ethernet interface 1/0.
- An mroute olist member being removed from the olist and the corresponding per interface mroute limiter being decreased by a cost of 2 on outgoing Ethernet interface 1/0.

Example:

```
device# debug ip mrouting limits

MRL(0): incr-ed acl ‘rpf-list’ to (13 < max 32), [n:0,p:0], (main) GigabitEthernet0/0, (10.41.0.41,
 225.30.200.60)
MRL(0): decr-ed acl ‘out-list’ to (10 < max 32), [n:0,p:0], (main) GigabitEthernet0/0, (*,
225.40.202.60)
MRL(0): Add mroute (10.43.0.43, 225.30.200.60) denied for GigabitEthernet0/2, acl std-list, (16 =
max 16)
MRL(0): incr-ed limit-acl `rpf-list' to (12 < max 32), cost-acl 'cost-list' cost 2, [n:0,p:0], (main)
 GigabitEthernet0/0, (10.41.0.41, 225.30.200.60)
MRL(0): decr-ed limit-acl `out-list' to (8 < max 32), cost-acl 'cost-list'' cost 2, [n:0,p:0], (main)
 GigabitEthernet0/0, (*, 225.40.202.60)
```

Step 3 **show ip multicast limit** *type number*
Displays counters related to mroute state limiters configured on the interfaces on the router.

For each per interface mroute state limiter shown in the output, the following information is displayed:

- The direction of traffic that the per mroute state limiter is limiting.
- The ACL referenced by the per interface mroute state limiter that defines the IP multicast traffic being limited.
- Statistics, enclosed in parenthesis, which track the current number of mroutes being limited less the configured limit. Each time the state for an mroute is created or deleted and each time an outgoing interface list (olist) member is added or removed, the counters for matching per interface mroute state limiters are increased or decreased accordingly.
- The exceeded counter, which tracks the total number of times that the limit configured for the per interface mroute state limiter has been exceeded. Each time an mroute is denied due to the configured limit being reached, the exceeded counter is increased by a value of 1.

The following is sample output from the **show ip multicast limit** command with the *type number* arguments. In this example, information about mroute state limiters configured on Gigabit Ethernet interface 0/0 is displayed.

Example:

```
Device# show ip multicast limit GigabitEthernet 0/0

Interface GigabitEthernet 0/0
 Multicast Access Limits
out acl out-list (1 < max 32) exceeded 0
rpf acl rpf-list (6 < max 32) exceeded 0
con acl conn-list (0 < max 32) exceeded 0
```

Step 4 **clear ip multicast limit** [*type number*]
Resets the exceeded counter for per interface mroute state limiters.

The following example shows how to reset exceeded counters for per interface mroute state limiters configured on Gigabit Ethernet interface 0/0:

Example:

```
Device# clear ip multicast limit interface GigabitEthernet 0/0
```

Configuration Examples for Per Interface Mroute State Limit

Example Configuring Per Interface Mroute State Limiters

The following example shows how to configure per interface mroute state limiters to provide multicast CAC in a network environment where all the multicast flows roughly utilize the same amount of bandwidth.

This example uses the topology illustrated in the figure.

Figure 5: Per Interface Mroute State Limit Example Topology



In this example, a service provider is offering 300 SD TV channels. The SD channels are being offered to customers in three service bundles (Basic, Premium, and Gold), which are available to customers on a subscription basis. Each bundle offers 100 channels to subscribers, and each channel utilizes approximately 4 Mbps of bandwidth.

The service provider must provision the Gigabit Ethernet interfaces on the PE device connected to DSLAMs as follows: 50% of the link's bandwidth (500 Mbps) must be available to subscribers of their Internet, voice, and VoD service offerings while the remaining 50% (500 Mbps) of the link's bandwidth must be available to subscribers of their SD channel bundle service offerings.

For the 500 Mbps of the link's bandwidth that must always be available to (but must never be exceeded by) the subscribers of the SD channel bundles, the interface must be further provisioned as follows:

- 60% of the bandwidth must be available to subscribers of the basic service (300 Mbps).
- 20% of the bandwidth must be available to subscribers of the premium service (100 Mbps).
- 20% of the bandwidth must be available to subscribers of the gold service (100 Mbps).

Because each SD channel utilizes the same amount of bandwidth (4 Mbps), per interface mroute state limiters can be used to provide the necessary CAC to provision the services being offered by the service provider. To determine the required CAC needed per interface, the number of channels for each bundle is divided by 4 (because each channel utilizes 4 Mbps of bandwidth). The required CAC needed per interface, therefore, is as follows:

- Basic Services: 300 / 4 = 75
- Premium Services: 100 / 4 = 25
- Gold Services: 100 / 4 = 25

Once the required CAC required per SD channel bundle is determined, the service provider uses the results to configure the mroute state limiters required to provision the Gigabit Ethernet interfaces on the PE device for the services being offered to subscribers behind the DSLAMs:

- For the Basic Services bundle, the service provider must limit the number of Basic Service SD channels that can be transmitted out a Gigabit Ethernet interface (at any given time) to 75. Configuring an mroute state limit of 75 for the SD channels offered in the Basic Service bundle provisions the interface for 300 Mbps of bandwidth (the 60% of the link's bandwidth that must always be available to [but never exceeded by] the subscribers of the Basic Services bundle).
- For the Premium Services bundle, the service provider must limit the number of Premium Service SD channels that can be transmitted out a Gigabit Ethernet interface (at any given time) to 25. Configuring an mroute state limit of 25 for the SD channels offered in the Premium Service bundle provisions the interface for 100 Mbps of bandwidth (the 20% of the link's bandwidth that must always be available to [but never exceeded by] the subscribers of the Premium Service bundle).
- For the Gold Services bundle, the service provider must limit the number of Gold Service SD channels that can be transmitted out a Gigabit Ethernet interface (at any given time) to 25. Configuring an mroute state limit of 25 for the SD channels offered in the Gold Service bundle provisions the interface for 100 Mbps of bandwidth (the 20% of the link's bandwidth that must always be available to [but never exceeded by] the subscribers of the Gold Service bundle).

The service provider then configures three ACLs to be applied to per interface mroute state limiters. Each ACL defines the SD channels for each SD channel bundle to be limited on an interface:

- acl-basic--The ACL that defines the SD channels offered in the basic service.
- acl-premium--The ACL that defines the SD channels offered in the premium service.
- acl-gold--The ACL that defines the SD channels offered in the gold service.

These ACLs are then applied to per interface mroute state limiters configured on the PE device's Gigabit Ethernet interfaces.

For this example, three per interface mroute state limiters are configured on Gigabit Ethernet interface 0/0 to provide the multicast CAC needed to provision the interface for the SD channel bundles being offered to subscribers:

- An mroute state limit of 75 for the SD channels that match acl-basic.
- An mroute state limit of 25 for the SD channels that match acl-premium.
- An mroute state limit of 25 for the SD channels that match acl-gold.

The following configuration shows how the service provider uses per interface mroute state limiters to provision Gigabit Ethernet interface 0/0 for the SD channel bundles and Internet, Voice, and VoD services being offered to subscribers:

```
interface GigabitEthernet0/0
description --- Interface towards the DSLAM ---
.
.
.
ip multicast limit out acl-basic 75
ip multicast limit out acl-premium 25
ip multicast limit out acl-gold 25
```

Additional References

Related Documents

Related Topic	Document Title
Cisco IOS commands	Cisco IOS Master Commands List, All Releases
IP multicast commands	Cisco IOS IP Multicast Command Reference

Standards and RFCs

Standard/RFC	Title
No new or modified standards or RFCs are supported by this feature.	--

MIBs

MIB	MIBs Link
No new or modified MIBs are supported by this feature.	To locate and download MIBs for selected platforms, Cisco software releases, and feature sets, use Cisco MIB Locator found at the following URL: http://www.cisco.com/go/mibs

Technical Assistance

Description	Link
The Cisco Support and Documentation website provides online resources to download documentation, software, and tools. Use these resources to install and configure the software and to troubleshoot and resolve technical issues with Cisco products and technologies. Access to most tools on the Cisco Support and Documentation website requires a Cisco.com user ID and password.	http://www.cisco.com/cisco/web/support/index.html

Feature Information for Per Interface Mroute State Limit

The following table provides release information about the feature or features described in this module. This table lists only the software release that introduced support for a given feature in a given software release train. Unless noted otherwise, subsequent releases of that software release train also support that feature.

Use Cisco Feature Navigator to find information about platform support and Cisco software image support. To access Cisco Feature Navigator, go to www.cisco.com/go/cfn. An account on Cisco.com is not required.

Table 2: Feature Information for Per Interface Mroute State Limit

Feature Name	Releases	Feature Information
Per Interface Mroute State Limit	Cisco IOS XE Release 2.1 12.3(14)T 12.2(33)SRB 12.2(33)SXI 15.1(1)SG Cisco IOX XE Release 3.3.0SG	The Per Interface Mroute State Limit feature provides the capability to limit the number of mroute states on an interface for different ACL-classified sets of multicast traffic. This feature can be used to prevent DoS attacks, or to provide a multicast CAC mechanism in network environments where all the multicast flows roughly utilize the same amount of bandwidth. The following commands were introduced or modified: **clear ip multicast limit**, **debug ip mrouting limits**, **ip multicast limit**, **show ip multicast limit**.

CHAPTER 3

Bandwidth-Based Call Admission Control for IP Multicast

This module describes how to configure the Bandwidth-Based Call Admission Control for IP Multicast feature to create bandwidth-based multicast call admission control (CAC) policies for assigning costs to mroutes that are being limited by per interface mroute state limiters.

Finding Feature Information

Your software release may not support all the features documented in this module. For the latest caveats and feature information, see Bug Search Tool and the release notes for your platform and software release. To find information about the features documented in this module, and to see a list of the releases in which each feature is supported, see the feature information table at the end of this module.

Use Cisco Feature Navigator to find information about platform support and Cisco software image support. To access Cisco Feature Navigator, go to www.cisco.com/go/cfn. An account on Cisco.com is not required.

Information About Broadwidth-Based CAC for IP Multicast

Multicast Admission Control

As the popularity of network video applications grows among consumers, admission control functions--which govern transmission and reception of multicast traffic based on available network resources--are vital. Without

admission control, some users may receive degraded multicast streams, rendering programs unwatchable, and others may receive a “Network Busy” message or nothing at all as network resources are overtaxed. Network admission control is important in maintaining a high quality of experience for digital video consumers.

The goals of multicast admission control features, therefore, are as follows:

- Protect the router from control plane overload to ensure that memory and CPU resources on multicast-enabled routers are not overrun by multicast route (mroute) states or denial-of-service (DoS) attacks from multicast packets.
- Enable proper resource allocation (on a global, per MVRF, or per interface basis) to ensure that multicast services are delivered to subscribers per their IP Service Level Agreements (SLAs) and to minimize the effects of DoS attacks on subscribers.
- Provide multicast CAC capabilities to prevent bandwidth resources (interfaces, subnetworks) from being congested and to enable service providers to offer more flexible and refined content and subscriber-based policies.

Bandwidth-Based CAC for IP Multicast

The Bandwidth-Based CAC for IP Multicast feature enhances the Per Interface Mroute State Limit feature by implementing a way to count per interface mroute state limiters using cost multipliers (referred to as *bandwidth-based multicast CAC policies*). This feature can be used to provide bandwidth-based multicast CAC on a per interface basis in network environments where the multicast flows utilize different amounts of bandwidth.

Mechanics of the Bandwidth-Based Multicast CAC Policies

The mechanics of bandwidth-based multicast CAC policies are as follows:

- Once an mroute matches an ACL configured for a per interface mroute state limiter, the Cisco IOS software performs a top-down search from the global or per MVRF list of configured bandwidth-based multicast CAC policies to determine if a cost should be applied to the mroute.
- A cost is applied to the first bandwidth-based CAC policy that matches the mroute. A match is found when the ACL applied to the bandwidth-based CAC policy permits the mroute state.
- The counter for the mroute state limiter either adds or subtracts the cost configured for the *cost-multiplier* argument. If no costs are configured or if the mroute does not match any of the configured bandwidth-based CAC polices, the default cost of 1 is used.

Tips for Configuring Bandwidth-Based CAC Policies for IP Multicast

- To ensure that a particular cost applies to all mroutes being limited, you can configure a bandwidth-based CAC policy whose ACL contains a **permit any** statement. Configuring a bandwidth-based CAC policy in this manner effectively ensures that the default cost is not applied to any mroutes being limited.
- Configuring a bandwidth-based CAC policy with a cost of 0 for the *cost-multiplier* argument can be used to skip the accounting of certain mroutes (for example, to prevent Auto-RP groups or a specific multicast channel from being accounted).

- An explicit deny statement for a specific mroute in an ACL can be used to specify the state that will not match the ACL (thus, preventing the ACL from being accounted). If an mroute matches a deny statement, the search immediately continues to the next configured bandwidth-based CAC policy. Configuring an explicit deny statement in an ACL can be more efficient than forcing the mroute to fall through an ACL (by means of the implicit **deny any** statement at the end of the ACL).

How to Configure Bandwidth-Based Call Admission Control (CAC) for IP Multicast

Configuring Bandwidth-Based Multicast CAC Policies

Perform this optional task to configure bandwidth-based multicast CAC policies. Bandwidth-based multicast CAC policies provide the capability to assign costs to mroutes that are being limited by per interface mroute state limiters. This task can be used to provide bandwidth-based multicast CAC on a per interface basis in network environments where the multicast flows utilize different amounts of bandwidth. Bandwidth-based multicast CAC policies can be applied globally.

Note You can omit Steps 3 to 7 if you have already configured the per interface mroute state limiters for which to apply costs.

Before You Begin

- IP multicast is enabled and the Protocol Independent Multicast (PIM) interfaces are configured using the tasks described in the "Configuring Basic IP Multicast" module of the *IP Multicast: PIM Configuration Guide*.
- All ACLs to be applied to bandwidth-based multicast CAC policies must be configured prior to beginning this configuration task; otherwise, the limiters are ignored. For information about how to configure ACLs, see the “Creating an IP Access List and Applying It to an Interface” module of the *Security Configuration Guide: Access Control Lists* guide.

SUMMARY STEPS

1. **enable**
2. **configure terminal**
3. **interface** *type number*
4. **ip multicast limit** [**connected** | **out** | **rpf**] *access-list max-entries*
5. Repeat Step 4 if you want to configure additional mroute state limiters on the interface. Repeat Step 3 and Step 4 if you want to configure mroute state limiters on additional interfaces.
6. **exit**
7. **ip multicast limit cost** *access-list cost-multiplier*
8. Repeat Step 7 if you want to apply additional costs to mroutes.
9. **end**

DETAILED STEPS

	Command or Action	Purpose
Step 1	**enable** **Example:** `Device> enable`	Enables privileged EXEC mode. • Enter your password if prompted.
Step 2	**configure terminal** **Example:** `Device# configure terminal`	Enters global configuration mode.
Step 3	**interface** *type number* **Example:** `Device(config)# interface GigabitEthernet 0/0/0`	Enters interface configuration mode for the specified interface type and number.
Step 4	**ip multicast limit** [**connected** | **out** | **rpf**] *access-list max-entries* **Example:** `Device(config-if)# ip multicast limit acl-test 100`	Configures mroute state limiters on an interface.
Step 5	Repeat Step 4 if you want to configure additional mroute state limiters on the interface. Repeat Step 3 and Step 4 if you want to configure mroute state limiters on additional interfaces.	
Step 6	**exit** **Example:** `Device(config-if)# exit`	Exits interface configuration mode, and returns to global configuration mode.

Step 7	**ip multicast limit cost** *access-list cost-multiplier*
	
	Example:
	
	`Device(config)# ip multicast limit cost acl-MP2SD-channels 4000`
		Applies costs to per interface mroute state limiters.
		
		• Specify the ACL that defines the IP multicast traffic for which to apply a cost.
		
		  • Standard ACLs can be used to define the (*, G) state.
		
		  • Extended ACLs can be used to define the (S, G) state. Extended ACLs also can be used to define the (*, G) state, by specifying 0.0.0.0 for the source address and source wildcard--referred to as (0,

	Command or Action	Purpose
		G)--in the permit or deny statements that compose the extended access list. • Specify the cost value to be applied to mroutes that match the ACL associated with the bandwidth-based multicast CAC policy.
Step 8	Repeat Step 7 if you want to apply additional costs to mroutes.	
Step 9	**end** **Example:** `Device(config-if)# end`	Exits interface configuration mode, and enters privileged EXEC mode.

Monitoring Per Interface Mroute State Limiters and Bandwidth-Based Multicast CAC Policies

Perform this optional task to monitor per interface mroute state limiters and bandwidth-based multicast CAC policies.

SUMMARY STEPS

1. **enable**
2. **debug ip mrouting limits** [*group-address*]
3. **show ip multicast limit** *type number*
4. **clear ip multicast limit** [*type number*]

DETAILED STEPS

Step 1 **enable**

Example:

```
Device> enable
```

Enables privileged EXEC mode.

- Enter your password if prompted.

Step 2 **debug ip mrouting limits** [*group-address*]
Displays debugging information about configured per interface mroute state limiters and bandwidth-based multicast CAC policies.

The following output is from the **debug ip mrouting limits** command. The output displays the following events:

- An mroute state being created and the corresponding per interface mroute state limiter counter being increased by the default cost of 1 on incoming Ethernet interface 1/0.
- An mroute olist member being removed from the olist and the corresponding per interface mroute limiter being decreased by the default cost of 1 on outgoing Ethernet interface 1/0.
- An mroute being denied by the per interface mroute state limiter because the maximum number of mroute states has been reached.
- An mroute state being created and the corresponding per interface mroute state limiter counter being increased by the cost of 2 on incoming Ethernet interface 1/0.
- An mroute olist member being removed from the olist and the corresponding per interface mroute limiter being decreased by a cost of 2 on outgoing Ethernet interface 1/0.

Example:

```
device# debug ip mrouting limits

MRL(0): incr-ed acl ‘rpf-list’ to (13 < max 32), [n:0,p:0], (main) GigabitEthernet0/0, (10.41.0.41,
 225.30.200.60)
MRL(0): decr-ed acl ‘out-list’ to (10 < max 32), [n:0,p:0], (main) GigabitEthernet0/0, (*,
225.40.202.60)
MRL(0): Add mroute (10.43.0.43, 225.30.200.60) denied for GigabitEthernet0/2, acl std-list, (16 =
max 16)
MRL(0): incr-ed limit-acl `rpf-list' to (12 < max 32), cost-acl 'cost-list' cost 2, [n:0,p:0], (main)
 GigabitEthernet0/0, (10.41.0.41, 225.30.200.60)
MRL(0): decr-ed limit-acl `out-list' to (8 < max 32), cost-acl 'cost-list'' cost 2, [n:0,p:0], (main)
 GigabitEthernet0/0, (*, 225.40.202.60)
```

Step 3 **show ip multicast limit** *type number*

Displays counters related to mroute state limiters configured on the interfaces on the router.

For each per interface mroute state limiter shown in the output, the following information is displayed:

- The direction of traffic that the per mroute state limiter is limiting.
- The ACL referenced by the per interface mroute state limiter that defines the IP multicast traffic being limited.
- Statistics, enclosed in parenthesis, which track the current number of mroutes being limited less the configured limit. Each time the state for an mroute is created or deleted and each time an outgoing interface list (olist) member is added or removed, the counters for matching per interface mroute state limiters are increased or decreased accordingly.
- The exceeded counter, which tracks the total number of times that the limit configured for the per interface mroute state limiter has been exceeded. Each time an mroute is denied due to the configured limit being reached, the exceeded counter is increased by a value of 1.

The following is sample output from the **show ip multicast limit** command with the *type number* arguments. In this example, information about mroute state limiters configured on Gigabit Ethernet interface 0/0 is displayed.

Example:

```
Device# show ip multicast limit GigabitEthernet 0/0

Interface GigabitEthernet 0/0
```

```
 Multicast Access Limits
out acl out-list (1 < max 32) exceeded 0
rpf acl rpf-list (6 < max 32) exceeded 0
con acl conn-list (0 < max 32) exceeded 0
```

Step 4 **clear ip multicast limit** [*type number*]
Resets the exceeded counter for per interface mroute state limiters.

The following example shows how to reset exceeded counters for per interface mroute state limiters configured on Gigabit Ethernet interface 0/0:

Example:

```
Device# clear ip multicast limit interface GigabitEthernet 0/0
```

Configuration Examples for Bandwidth-Based Call Admission Control for IP Multicast

Example: Configuring Bandwidth-Based Multicast CAC Policies

The following example shows how to configure bandwidth-based multicast CAC policies to provide multicast CAC in a network environment where the multicast flows utilize different amounts of bandwidth.

This example uses the topology illustrated in the figure.

Figure 6: Bandwidth-Based CAC for IP Multicast Example Topology



In this example, three content providers are providing TV services across a service provider core. The content providers are broadcasting TV channels that utilize different amounts of bandwidth:

- MPEG-2 SDTV channels--4 Mbps per channel.
- MPEG-2 HDTV channels--18 Mbps per channel.
- MPEG-4 SDTV channels--1.6 Mbps per channel.
- MPEG-4 HDTV channels--6 Mbps per channel.

The service provider needs to provision the fair sharing of bandwidth between these three content providers to its subscribers across Gigabit Ethernet interfaces. The service provider, thus, determines that it needs to provision each Gigabit Ethernet interface on the PE device connected to the DSLAMs as follows:

- 250 Mbps per content provider.
- 250 Mbps for Internet, voice, and VoD services.

The service provider then configures three ACLs:

- acl-CP1-channels--The ACL that defines the channels being offered by the content provider CP1.
- acl-CP2-channels--The ACL that defines the channels being offered by the content provider CP2.
- acl-CP3-channels--The ACL that defines the channels being offered by the content provider CP3.

Because the content providers are broadcasting TV channels that utilize different amounts of bandwidth, the service provider needs to determine the values that need to be configured for the per interface mroute state

limiters and bandwidth-based multicast CAC policies to provide the fair sharing of bandwidth required between the content providers.

Prior to the introduction of the Bandwidth-Based CAC for IP Multicast feature, per interface mroute state limiters were based strictly on the number of flows. The introduction of cost multipliers by the Bandwidth-Based CAC for IP Multicast feature expands how per interface mroute state limiters can be defined. Instead of defining the per interface mroute state limiters based on the number of multicast flows, the service provider looks for a common unit of measure and decides to represent the per interface mroute state limiters in kilobits per second (Kbps). The service provider then configures three per interface mroute state limiters, one per content provider. Because the link is a Gigabit, the service provider sets each limit to 250000 (because 250000 Kbps equals 250 Mbps, the number of bits that service provider needs to provision per content provider).

The service provider needs to further provision the fair sharing of bandwidth between the content providers, which can be achieved by configuring bandwidth-based multicast CAC policies. The service provider decides to create four bandwidth-based CAC policies, one policy per channel based on bandwidth. For these policies, the service provider configures the following ACLs:

- acl-MP2SD-channels--Defines all the MPEG-2 SD channels offered by the three content providers.
- acl-MP2HD-channels--Defines all the MPEG-2 HD channels offered by the three content providers.
- acl-MP4SD-channels--Defines all the MPEG-4 SD channels offered by the three content providers.
- acl-MP4HD-channels--Defines all the MPEG-4 HD channels offered by the three content providers.

For each policy, a cost multiplier (represented in Kbps) is defined for each ACL that is based on the bandwidth of the channels defined in the ACL:

- 4000--Represents the 4 Mbps MPEG-2 SD channels.
- 18000--Represents the 18 Mbps MPEG-2 HD channels.
- 1600--Represents the 1.6 Mbps MPEG-4 SD channels.
- 6000--Represents the 6 Mbps MPEG-4 HD channels.

The following configuration example shows how the service provider used per interface mroute state limiters with bandwidth-based multicast CAC policies to provision Gigabit Ethernet interface 0/0 for the fair sharing of bandwidth required between the three content providers:

```
!
ip multicast limit cost acl-MP2SD-channels 4000
ip multicast limit cost acl-MP2HD-channels 18000
ip multicast limit cost acl-MP4SD-channels 1600
ip multicast limit cost acl-MP4HD-channels 6000
!
.
.
.
!
interface GigabitEthernet0/0
 ip multicast limit out acl-CP1-channels 250000
 ip multicast limit out acl-CP2-channels 250000
 ip multicast limit out acl-CP3-channels 250000
!
```

Additional References

Related Documents

Related Topic	Document Title
Cisco IOS commands	Cisco IOS Master Commands List, All Releases
IP multicast commands	Cisco IOS IP Multicast Command Reference

Standards and RFCs

Standard/RFC	Title
No new or modified standards or RFCs are supported by this feature.	--

MIBs

MIB	MIBs Link
No new or modified MIBs are supported by this feature.	To locate and download MIBs for selected platforms, Cisco software releases, and feature sets, use Cisco MIB Locator found at the following URL: http://www.cisco.com/go/mibs

Technical Assistance

Description	Link
The Cisco Support and Documentation website provides online resources to download documentation, software, and tools. Use these resources to install and configure the software and to troubleshoot and resolve technical issues with Cisco products and technologies. Access to most tools on the Cisco Support and Documentation website requires a Cisco.com user ID and password.	http://www.cisco.com/cisco/web/support/index.html

Feature Information for Bandwidth-Based CAC for IP Multicast

The following table provides release information about the feature or features described in this module. This table lists only the software release that introduced support for a given feature in a given software release train. Unless noted otherwise, subsequent releases of that software release train also support that feature.

Use Cisco Feature Navigator to find information about platform support and Cisco software image support. To access Cisco Feature Navigator, go to www.cisco.com/go/cfn. An account on Cisco.com is not required.

Table 3: Feature Information for Bandwidth-Based CAC for IP Multicast

Feature Name	Releases	Feature Information
Bandwidth-Based CAC for IP Multicast	12.2(33)SRB 12.4(15)T 12.2(33)SXI Cisco IOS XE Release 2.1 15.1(1)SG Cisco IOS XE Release 3.3SG	The Bandwidth-Based CAC for IP Multicast feature enhances the Per Interface Mroute State Limit feature by implementing a way to count per interface mroute state limiters using cost multipliers. This feature can be used to provide bandwidth-based CAC on a per interface basis in network environments where the multicast flows utilize different amounts of bandwidth. The following commands were introduced or modified: **ip multicast limit cost**.